

# The Gauss-Bonnet Theorem for the Noncommutative Torus

**Masoud Khalkhali**

**Feza Gursev Institute, Istanbul, December 2010**

# Weyl's Law (1911-1915)

Consider the *Dirichlet boundary value problem* for  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$\Delta u = \lambda u, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

$$\Delta = -(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2) \quad \text{Laplacian}$$

Eigenvalues:

$$0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots \rightarrow \infty$$

Eigenfunctions:  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  form an o.n. basis for  $L^2(\Omega)$

Weyl's Law:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n} = \frac{4\pi}{|\Omega|},$$

# Physics of the Weyl's Law

Laplacian  $\Delta$  appears on the right hand side of most famous equations of both classical and quantum physics:

The Heat equation

$$\partial_t u = \Delta_x u$$

The wave equation

$$\partial_t^2 u = c^2 \Delta_x u$$

The Schroedinger equation (for a free particle)

$$ih\partial_t \psi = \Delta_x \psi$$

Musical interpretation (the theory of sound):  
shows that the eigenfrequencies (pure tones) that a drum with clamped edge can produce

$$\nu_n \sim \sqrt{\lambda_n}$$

. Thus Weyl's law says that *one can hear the area of a drum!*

Why is this significant?

Introduce the *Eigenvalue Counting Function*:

Weyl's Law is equivalent to

$$N(\lambda) = \frac{|\Omega|}{4\pi} \lambda + o(\lambda) \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty$$

General statement: Let  $(M, g)$  be a closed, oriented,  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold. Let  $\Delta = d^*d$  be the Laplacian of  $(M, g)$ . Consider the eigenvalue problem

$$\Delta u = \lambda u$$

It has a discrete set of eigenvalues

$$0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots \rightarrow \infty$$

Weyl's Law:

$$N(\lambda) = \frac{|\Omega|}{4\pi} \lambda + o(\lambda) \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty$$

# Complex structure

Fix

$$\tau = \tau_1 + i\tau_2, \quad \tau_2 > 0,$$

and define

$$\partial = \delta_1 + \tau\delta_2, \quad \partial^* = \delta_1 + \bar{\tau}\delta_2.$$

Define the Hilbert space (analogue of  $(1,0)$ -forms)

$$\mathcal{H}^{(1,0)} \subset \mathcal{H}_0$$

as the completion of the subspace spanned by finite sums  $\sum a\partial b$ ,  
 $a, b \in A_\theta^\infty$ .

Connes and Tretkoff consider  $\tau = i$ .

View

$$\partial = \delta_1 + \tau\delta_2 : \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(1,0)}$$

as an unbounded operator with the adjoint given by

$$\partial^* = \delta_1 + \bar{\tau}\delta_2.$$

Define the **Laplacian**

$$\Delta := \partial^*\partial = \delta_1^2 + 2\tau_1\delta_1\delta_2 + |\tau|^2\delta_2^2.$$

# Conformal perturbation of the metric

To investigate the analogue of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, vary the conformal class of the metric by  $h = h^* \in A_\theta^\infty$ : Define a positive linear functional  $\varphi : A_\theta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\varphi(a) = \tau_0(ae^{-h}), \quad a \in A_\theta.$$

It is a twisted trace

$$\varphi(ba) = \varphi(a\sigma_i(b))$$

which is the KMS condition at  $\beta = 1$  for 1PG of automorphisms

$\sigma_t : A_\theta \rightarrow A_\theta$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\sigma_t(x) = e^{ith} x e^{-ith}.$$

In fact

$$\sigma_t = \Delta^{-it}$$

with the **modular operator**

$$\Delta(x) = e^{-h} x e^h.$$



# The perturbed Laplacian

Let  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi =$  completion of  $A_\theta$  w.r.t.  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\varphi$ , where

$$\langle a, b \rangle_\varphi = \varphi(b^* a), \quad a, b \in A_\theta.$$

Let

$$\partial_\varphi = \partial = \delta_1 + \tau\delta_2 : \mathcal{H}_\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(1,0)}.$$

It has a formal adjoint  $\partial_\varphi^*$  given by

$$\partial_\varphi^* = R(e^h)\partial^*$$

where  $R(e^h)$  is the right multiplication operator by  $e^h$  ( $R(e^h)(x) = e^h x$ ).

Define the new Laplacian:

$$\Delta' = \partial_\varphi^* \partial_\varphi : \mathcal{H}_\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\varphi.$$

**Lemma (Connes-Tretkoff; continues to hold)**

$\Delta'$  is anti-unitarily equivalent to the positive unbounded operator  $k\Delta$  acting on  $\mathcal{H}_0$ , where  $k = e^{h/2}$ .

# Spectral zeta function

$$\zeta(s) = \sum \lambda_i^{-s} = \text{Trace}(\Delta'^{-s}), \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1.$$

Mellin transform

$$\lambda^{-s} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty e^{-t\lambda} t^{s-1} dt$$

gives us

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \text{Trace}^+(e^{-t\Delta'}) t^{s-1} dt,$$

where

$$\text{Trace}^+(e^{-t\Delta'}) = \text{Trace}(e^{-t\Delta'}) - \text{Dim Ker}(\Delta').$$

$\zeta$  has a meromorphic extension to  $\mathbb{C} \setminus 1$  with a simple pole at  $s = 1$ .

# The Gauss-Bonnet theorem

## Theorem (Gauss-Bonnet for classical Riemann surface)

Let  $\Sigma =$  compact connected oriented Riemann surface with metric  $g$ .  
Then

$$\zeta(0) + 1 = \frac{1}{12\pi} \int_{\Sigma} R = \frac{1}{6} \chi(\Sigma),$$

where  $\zeta$  is the zeta function associated to the Laplacian  $\Delta_g = d^*d$ , and  $R$  is the (scalar) curvature. In particular  $\zeta(0)$  is a topological invariant; e.g. is invariant under conformal perturbations of the metric  $g \mapsto e^f g$ .

## Theorem (Gauss-Bonnet for NC torus)

Let  $k \in A_{\theta}^{\infty}$  be an invertible positive element. Then the value  $\zeta(0)$  of the zeta function  $\zeta$  of the operator  $\Delta' \sim k\Delta k$  is independent of  $k$ .

# Pseudodifferential calculus

Recall: Connes (1980), Baaj (1988).

**Differential operators** of order  $n$ :

$$P : A_\theta^\infty \rightarrow A_\theta^\infty, \quad P = \sum_j a_j \delta_1^{j_1} \delta_2^{j_2}$$

with  $a_j \in A_\theta^\infty$ ,  $j = (j_1, j_2) \mid |j| \leq n$ .

**Operator valued symbols** of order  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ : smooth maps

$$\rho : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow A_\theta^\infty$$

s.t.

$$\|\delta_1^{i_1} \delta_2^{i_2} (\partial_1^{j_1} \partial_2^{j_2} \rho(\xi))\| \leq c(1 + |\xi|)^{n-|j|},$$

where  $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}$ , and  $\rho$  is homogeneous of order  $n$  at infinity:

$$\lim \lambda^{-n} \rho(\lambda \xi_1, \lambda \xi_2), \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty$$

exists and is smooth.

Given a symbol  $\rho$ , define a **pseudodifferential operator**

$$P_\rho : A_\theta^\infty \rightarrow A_\theta^\infty$$

by

$$P_\rho(a) = (2\pi)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-is \cdot \xi} \rho(\xi) \alpha_s(a) ds d\xi,$$

where

$$\alpha_s(U^n V^m) = e^{is \cdot (n, m)} U^n V^m.$$

For pseudodifferential operators  $P, Q$ , with symbols  $\sigma(P) = \rho, \sigma(Q) = \rho'$ :

$$\sigma(PQ) \sim \sum \frac{1}{l_1! l_2!} \partial_1^{l_1} \partial_2^{l_2}(\rho(\xi)) \delta_1^{l_1} \delta_2^{l_2}(\rho'(\xi)).$$

**Elliptic Symbols:** A symbol  $\rho(\xi)$  of order  $n$  is called elliptic if  $\rho(\xi)$  is invertible for  $\xi \neq 0$ , and, for  $|\xi|$  large enough,

$$\|\rho(\xi)^{-1}\| \leq c(1 + |\xi|)^{-n}.$$

Example:

$$\Delta = \delta_1^2 + 2\tau_1\delta_1\delta_2 + |\tau|^2\delta_2^2$$

is an **elliptic operator** with an elliptic symbol

$$\sigma(\Delta) = \xi_1^2 + 2\tau_1\xi_1\xi_2 + |\tau|^2\xi_2^2.$$

# Computing $\zeta(0)$

Recall:

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty (\text{Trace}(e^{-t\Delta'}) t^{s-1} - 1) dt,$$

$1 = \text{Dim Ker}(\Delta')$ .

Cauchy integral formula:

$$e^{-t\Delta'} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C e^{-t\lambda} (\Delta' - \lambda 1)^{-1} d\lambda$$

gives the asymptotic expansion as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ :

$$\text{Trace}(e^{-t\Delta'}) \sim t^{-1} \sum_0^\infty B_{2n}(\Delta') t^n.$$

It follows that:

$$\zeta(0) = B_2(\Delta'),$$

$$B_2(\Delta') = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_C e^{-\lambda} \tau_0(b_2(\xi, \lambda)) d\lambda d\xi$$

where

$$(b_0(\xi, \lambda) + b_1(\xi, \lambda) + b_2(\xi, \lambda) + \cdots) \sigma(\Delta' - \lambda) \sim 1,$$

$b_j(\xi, \lambda)$  is a symbol of order  $-2 - j$ .

Can assume  $\lambda = -1$ :

$$\zeta(0) = - \int \tau_0(b_2(\xi, -1)) d\xi.$$



$$\sigma(\Delta' + 1) = \sigma(k\Delta k + 1) = (a_2 + 1) + a_1 + a_0$$

where

$$a_2 = k^2 \xi_1^2 + 2\tau_1 k^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 + |\tau|^2 k^2 \xi_2^2$$

$$a_1 = (2k\delta_1(k) + 2\tau_1 k\delta_2(k))\xi_1 +$$

$$(2\tau_1 k\delta_1(k) + 2|\tau|^2 k\delta_2(k))\xi_2$$

$$a_0 = k\delta_1^2(k) + 2\tau_1 k\delta_1\delta_2(k) + |\tau|^2 k\delta_2^2(k).$$

Using the calculus for symbols:

$$b_0 = (a_2 + 1)^{-1}$$

$$b_1 = -(b_0 a_1 b_0 + \partial_i(b_0)\delta_i(a_2)b_0)$$

$$b_2 = -(b_0 a_0 b_0 + b_1 a_1 b_0 + \partial_i(b_0)\delta_i(a_1)b_0 \\ + \partial_i(b_1)\delta_i(a_2)b_0 + (1/2)\partial_i\partial_j(b_0)\delta_i\delta_j(a_2)b_0).$$

# Integrating $b_2(\xi, -1)$ over the plane

Pass to these coordinates:

$$\xi_1 = r \cos \theta - r \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2} \sin \theta$$

$$\xi_2 = \frac{r}{\tau_2} \sin \theta$$

where  $\theta$  ranges from 0 to  $2\pi$  and  $r$  ranges from 0 to  $\infty$ .

After integrating  $\int_0^{2\pi} \bullet d\theta$  we have terms such as

$$4\tau_1 r^3 b_0^3 k^2 \delta_2(k) \delta_1(k),$$

$$2r^3 b_0^2 k^2 \delta_1(k) b_0 \delta_1(k),$$

$$-2r^5 b_0^2 k^2 \delta_1(k) b_0^2 k^2 \delta_1(k),$$

where

$$b_0 = (1 + r^2 k^2)^{-1}.$$

## Lemma (Connes-Tretkoff)

For  $\rho \in A_\theta^\infty$  and every non-negative integer  $m$ :

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{k^{2m+2} u^m}{(k^2 u + 1)^{m+1}} \rho \frac{1}{(k^2 u + 1)} du = \mathcal{D}_m(\rho)$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_m = \mathcal{L}_m(\Delta),$$

$\Delta =$  the modular automorphism,

$$\mathcal{L}_m(u) = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^m}{(x+1)^{m+1}} \frac{1}{(xu+1)} dx =$$
$$(-1)^m (u-1)^{-(m+1)} \left( \log u - \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{j+1} \frac{(u-1)^j}{j} \right)$$

(modified logarithm).

## Lemma

Let  $k$  be an invertible positive element of  $A_\theta^\infty$ . Then the value  $\zeta(0)$  of the zeta function  $\zeta$  of the operator  $\Delta' \sim k\Delta k$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(0) + 1 &= \frac{2\pi}{\tau_2} \varphi(f(\Delta)(\delta_1(k))\delta_1(k)) + \frac{2\pi|\tau|^2}{\tau_2} \varphi(f(\Delta)(\delta_2(k))\delta_2(k)) + \\ &\quad \frac{2\pi\tau_1}{\tau_2} \varphi(f(\Delta)(\delta_1(k))\delta_2(k)) + \frac{2\pi\tau_1}{\tau_2} \varphi(f(\Delta)(\delta_2(k))\delta_1(k)),\end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi(x) = \tau_0(xk^{-2})$ ,  $\tau_0$  is the unique trace on  $A_\theta$ ,  $\Delta$  is the modular automorphism, and

$$f(u) = \frac{1}{6}u^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{3} + \mathcal{L}_1(u) - 2(1 + u^{1/2})\mathcal{L}_2(u) + (1 + u^{1/2})^2\mathcal{L}_3(u).$$

( $\mathcal{L}_m$  is the modified logarithm.)

## Theorem (Gauss-Bonnet for NC torus)

Let  $k \in A_\theta^\infty$  be an invertible positive element. Then the value  $\zeta(0)$  of the zeta function  $\zeta$  of the operator  $\Delta' \sim k\Delta k$  is independent of  $k$ .

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(f(\Delta)(\delta_j(k))\delta_j(k)) &= 0 \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \\ \varphi(f(\Delta)(\delta_1(k))\delta_2(k)) &= -\varphi(f(\Delta)(\delta_2(k))\delta_1(k)).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(0) + 1 &= \frac{2\pi}{\tau_2} \varphi(f(\Delta)(\delta_1(k))\delta_1(k)) + \frac{2\pi|\tau|^2}{\tau_2} \varphi(f(\Delta)(\delta_2(k))\delta_2(k)) + \\ &\quad \frac{2\pi\tau_1}{\tau_2} \varphi(f(\Delta)(\delta_1(k))\delta_2(k)) + \frac{2\pi\tau_1}{\tau_2} \varphi(f(\Delta)(\delta_2(k))\delta_1(k)) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

