

## Contents

32 Postnikov towers	1
33 The Hurewicz Theorem	7
34 Freudenthal Suspension Theorem	14

### 32 Postnikov towers

Suppose  $X$  is a simplicial set, and that  $x, y : \Delta^n \rightarrow X$  are  $n$ -simplices of  $X$ .

Say that  $x$  is  **$k$ -equivalent** to  $y$  and write  $x \sim_k y$  if there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{sk}_k \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{i} & \Delta^n \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow y \\ \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{x} & X \end{array}$$

or if

$$x|_{\mathrm{sk}_k \Delta^n} = y|_{\mathrm{sk}_k \Delta^n}.$$

Write  $X(k)_n$  for the set of equivalence classes of  $n$ -simplices of  $X_n$  mod  $k$ -equivalence.

Every morphism  $\Delta^m \rightarrow \Delta^n$  induces a morphism  $\mathrm{sk}_k \Delta^m \rightarrow \mathrm{sk}_k \Delta^n$ . Thus, if  $x \sim_k y$  then  $\theta^*(x) \sim_k \theta^*(y)$ .

The sets  $X(k)_m$ ,  $m \geq 0$ , therefore assemble into a simplicial set  $X(k)$ .

The map

$$\pi_k : X \rightarrow X(k)$$

is the canonical surjection. It is natural in simplicial sets  $X$ , and is defined for  $k \geq 0$ .

$X(k)$  is the  $k^{\text{th}}$  **Postnikov section** of  $X$ .

If  $x \sim_{k+1} y$  then  $x \sim_k y$ . It follows that there are natural commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\pi_{k+1}} & X(k+1) \\ & \searrow \pi_k & \downarrow p \\ & & X(k) \end{array}$$

The system of simplicial set maps

$$X(0) \xleftarrow{p} X(1) \xleftarrow{p} X(2) \xleftarrow{p} \dots$$

is called the **Postnikov tower** of  $X$ .

The map  $\pi_k : X_n \rightarrow X(k)_n$  of  $n$ -simplices is a bijection for  $n \leq k$ , since  $\text{sk}_k \Delta^n = \Delta^n$  in that case.

It follows that the induced map

$$X \rightarrow \varprojlim_k X(k)$$

is an isomorphism of simplicial sets.

**Lemma 32.1.** *Suppose  $X$  is a Kan complex. Then*

- 1)  $\pi_k : X \rightarrow X(k)$  is a fibration and  $X(k)$  is a Kan complex for  $k \geq 0$ .
- 2)  $\pi_k : X \rightarrow X(k)$  induces a bijection  $\pi_0(X) \cong \pi_0 X(k)$  and isomorphisms

$$\pi_i(X, x) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_i(X(k), x)$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

- 3)  $\pi_i(X(k), x) = 0$  for  $i > k$ .

*Proof.* Suppose given a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_r^n & \xrightarrow{(x_0, \dots, \hat{x}_r, \dots, x_n)} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_k \\ \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{[y]} & X(k) \end{array}$$

If  $n \leq k$  the lift  $y : \Delta^n \rightarrow X$  exists because  $\pi_k$  is an isomorphism in degrees  $\leq k$ .

If  $n = k + 1$  then  $d_i(y) = d_i([y]) = x_i$  for  $i \neq r$ , so that the representative  $y$  is again a suitable lift.

If  $n > k + 1$  there is a simplex  $x \in X_n$  such that  $d_i x = x_i$  for  $i \neq r$ , since  $X$  is a Kan complex.

There is an identity  $\text{sk}_k(\Lambda_r^n) = \text{sk}_k(\Delta^n)$  for since  $n \geq k + 2$ , and it follows that  $[x] = [y]$ .

We have proved that  $\pi_k$  is a Kan fibration.

Generally, if  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  is a surjective fibration and  $X$  is a Kan complex, then  $Y$  is a Kan complex (exercise).

It follows that all Postnikov sections  $X(k)$  are Kan complexes.

If  $n > k$ ,  $x \in X_0 = X(k)_0$  and the picture

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial\Delta^n & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow x & \\ \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{[\alpha]} & X(k) \end{array}$$

defines an element of  $\pi_n(X(k), x)$ , then all faces of the representative  $\alpha : \Delta^n \rightarrow X$  and all faces of the element  $x : \Delta^n \rightarrow X$  have the same  $k$ -skeleton,  $\alpha$  and  $x$  have the same  $k$ -skeleton, and so  $[\alpha] = [x]$ .

We have proved statements 1) and 3). Statement 2) is an exercise.  $\square$

The fibration trick used in the proof of Lemma 32.1 is a special case of the following:

**Lemma 32.2.** *Suppose given a commutative diagram of simplicial set maps*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{p} & Y \\ & \searrow q & \downarrow \pi \\ & & Z \end{array}$$

such that  $p$  and  $q$  are fibrations and  $p$  is surjective in all degrees.

Then  $\pi$  is a fibration.

*Proof.* The proof is an exercise. □

**Remarks:**

1) If  $X$  is a Kan complex, it follows from Lemma 32.1 and Lemma 32.2 that all maps

$$p : X(k + 1) \rightarrow X(k)$$

in the Postnikov tower for  $X$  are fibrations.

2) There is a natural commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\eta} & B\pi(X) \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \simeq \downarrow \pi_{1*} \\ X(1) & \xrightarrow[\eta]{\simeq} & B\pi(X(1)) \end{array}$$

for Kan complexes  $X$ , in which the indicated maps  $\eta$  and  $\pi_{1*}$  are weak equivalences by Lemma 28.5

3) Suppose that  $X$  is a connected Kan complex. The fibre  $F_n(X)$  of the fibration  $\pi_n : X \rightarrow X(n)$  is the  **$n$ -connected cover** of  $X$ . The space  $F_n(X)$  is  $n$ -connected, and the maps

$$\pi_k(F_n(X), z) \rightarrow \pi_k(X, z)$$

are isomorphisms for  $k \geq n + 1$ , by Lemma 32.1.

The homotopy fibres of the map  $\pi_1 : X \rightarrow X(1)$ , equivalently of the map  $X \rightarrow B(\pi(X))$  are the **universal covers** of  $X$ .

All universal covers of  $X$  are simply connected, and are weakly equivalent because  $X$  is connected.

More is true. Replace  $\eta : X \rightarrow B\pi(X)$  by a fibration  $p : Z \rightarrow B(\pi(X))$ , and form the pullbacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p^{-1}(x) & \longrightarrow & Z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ B(\pi(X)/x) & \longrightarrow & B\pi(X) \end{array}$$

All spaces  $p^{-1}(x)$  are universal covers, and there are weak equivalences

$$\underline{\text{holim}}_{x \in \pi(X)} p^{-1}(x) \xrightarrow{\simeq} Z \xleftarrow{\simeq} X.$$

Thus, every space  $X$  is a homotopy colimit of universal covers, indexed over its fundamental groupoid  $\pi(X)$ .

### 33 The Hurewicz Theorem

Suppose  $X$  is a pointed space.

The **Hurewicz map** for  $X$  is the composite

$$X \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathbb{Z}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(X)/\mathbb{Z}(*)$$

where  $*$  denotes the base point of  $X$ .

The homology groups of the quotient

$$\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(X) := \mathbb{Z}(X)/\mathbb{Z}(*)$$

are the **reduced homology groups** of  $X$ , and one writes

$$\tilde{H}_n(X) = H_n(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(X)).$$

The reduced homology groups  $\tilde{H}_n(X, A)$  are defined by

$$\tilde{H}_n(X, A) = H_n(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \otimes A)$$

for any abelian group  $A$ .

The Hurewicz map is denoted by  $h$ . We have

$$h : X \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(X).$$

**Lemma 33.1.** *Suppose that  $\pi$  is a group.*

*The homomorphism*

$$h_* : \pi_1(B\pi) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_1(B\pi)$$

*is isomorphic to the homomorphism*

$$\pi \rightarrow \pi/[\pi, \pi].$$

*Proof.* From the Moore chain complex  $\mathbb{Z}(B\pi)$ , the group  $H_1(B\pi) = \tilde{H}_1(B\pi)$  is the free abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}(\pi)$  on the elements of  $\pi$  modulo the relations  $g_1g_2 - g_1 - g_2$  and  $e = 0$ .

The composite

$$\pi \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_1(B\pi) \xrightarrow{h_*} H_1(B\pi)$$

is the canonical map. □

Consequence: If  $A$  is an abelian group, the map

$$h_* : \pi_1(BA) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_1(BA)$$

is an isomorphism.

**Lemma 33.2.** *Suppose  $X$  is a connected pointed space.*

*Then  $\eta : X \rightarrow B\pi(X)$  induces an isomorphism*

$$H_1(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_1(B\pi(X)).$$

*Proof.* The homotopy fibre  $F$  of  $\eta$  is simply connected, so  $H_1(F) = 0$  by Lemma 33.1 (or otherwise — exercise).

It follows that  $E_2^{0,1} = 0$  in the (general) Serre spectral sequence for the fibre sequence

$$F \rightarrow X \rightarrow B\pi(X)$$

Thus,  $E_\infty^{0,1} = 0$ , while  $E_2^{1,0} = E_\infty^{1,0} = H_1(B\pi(X))$ .

The edge homomorphism

$$H_1(X) \rightarrow H_1(B\pi(X)) = E_\infty^{1,0}$$

is therefore an isomorphism. □

The proof of the following result is an exercise:

**Corollary 33.3.** *Suppose  $X$  is a connected pointed Kan complex.*

*The Hurewicz homomorphism*

$$h_* : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_1(X)$$

*is an isomorphism if  $\pi_1(X)$  is abelian.*

The following result gives the relation between the path-loop fibre sequence and the Hurewicz map.

**Lemma 33.4.** *Suppose  $Y$  is an  $n$ -connected pointed Kan complex, with  $n \geq 1$ .*

*For  $2 \leq i \leq 2n$  there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_i(Y) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\partial} & \pi_{i-1}(\Omega Y) \\ h_* \downarrow & & \downarrow h_* \\ \tilde{H}_i(Y) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{d_i} & \tilde{H}_{i-1}(\Omega Y) \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Form the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(\Omega Y) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(\Omega Y) & \xleftarrow{h} & \Omega Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(C\Omega Y) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(PY) & \xleftarrow{h} & PY \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p_* & & \downarrow p \\ \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(\Sigma\Omega Y) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_*} & \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(Y) & \xleftarrow{h} & Y \end{array}$$

By replacing  $p_*$  by a fibration one finds a comparison diagram of fibre sequences and there is an induced diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_i(Y) & \xrightarrow{\quad \partial \quad} & \pi_{i-1}(\Omega Y) \\ h_* \downarrow & & \downarrow h_* \\ \tilde{H}_i(Y) & \xleftarrow[\varepsilon_*]{\cong} \tilde{H}_i(\Sigma\Omega Y) & \xrightarrow[\partial]{\cong} \tilde{H}_{i-1}(\Omega Y) \end{array}$$

The bottom composite is the transgression  $d_i$  by Corollary 31.4.  $\square$

**Theorem 33.5** (Hurewicz Theorem). *Suppose  $X$  is an  $n$ -connected pointed Kan complex, and that  $n \geq 1$ .*

*Then the Hurewicz homomorphism*

$$h_* : \pi_i(X) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_i(X)$$

*is an isomorphism if  $i = n + 1$  and is an epimorphism if  $i = n + 2$ .*

The proof of the Hurewicz Theorem requires some preliminary observations about Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces:

The **good truncation**  $T_m C$  for a chain complex  $C$  is the chain complex

$$C_0 \xleftarrow{\partial} \dots \xleftarrow{\partial} C_{m-1} \xleftarrow{\partial^*} C_m / \partial(C_{m+1}) \leftarrow 0 \dots$$

The canonical map

$$C \rightarrow T_m(C)$$

induces isomorphisms  $H_i(C) \cong H_i(T_m(C))$  for  $i \leq m$ , while  $H_i(T_m(C)) = 0$  for  $i > m$ .

The isomorphism  $H_m(C) \cong H_m(T_m(C))$  is the “goodness”. It means that the functor  $C \mapsto T_m(C)$  preserves homology isomorphisms.

It follows that the composite

$$Y \xrightarrow{h_*} \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(Y) \cong \Gamma N \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(Y) \rightarrow \Gamma T_m N \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(Y)$$

is a weak equivalence for a space  $Y$  of type  $K(A, m)$ , if  $A$  is abelian.

For this, we need to show that  $h_*$  induces an isomorphism  $\pi_m(Y) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_m(Y)$ .

This seems like a special case of the Hurewicz theorem, but it is true for  $m = 1$  by Corollary 33.3, and then true for all  $m \geq 1$  by an inductive argument that uses Lemma 33.4.

We have shown that there is a weak equivalence  $Y \rightarrow B$  where  $B$  is a simplicial abelian group of type  $K(A, m)$ .

It is an exercise to show that  $B$  is weakly equivalent as a simplicial abelian group to the simplicial abelian group

$$K(A, m) = \Gamma(A(m)).$$

*Proof of Theorem 33.5.* The space  $X(n+1)$  is an Eilenberg-Mac Lane space of type  $K(A, n+1)$ , where  $A = \pi_{n+1}(X)$ .

The Hurewicz map

$$h_* : \pi_m(Y) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_m(Y)$$

is an isomorphism for all spaces  $Y$  of type  $K(A, m)$ , for all  $m \geq 1$ .

We know from Lemma 29.5 and the remarks above that there is an isomorphism

$$H_{m+1}(Y) = 0$$

for all spaces  $Y$  of type  $K(A, m)$ , for all  $m \geq 2$ .

It follows that

$$H_{n+2}(X(n+1)) = 0.$$

Now suppose that  $F$  is the homotopy fibre of the map  $\pi_{n+1} : X \rightarrow X(n+1)$ .

There are diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_{n+1}(X) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi_{n+1}(X(n+1)) & \pi_{n+2}(F) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \pi_{n+2}(X) \\ h_* \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow h_* & h_* \downarrow & & \downarrow h_* \\ \tilde{H}_{n+1}(X) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{H}_{n+1}(X(n+1)) & \tilde{H}_{n+2}(F) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{H}_{n+2}(X) \end{array}$$

The Serre spectral sequence for the fibre sequence

$$F \rightarrow X \rightarrow X(n+1)$$

is used to show that

- 1) the map  $H_{n+1}(X) \rightarrow H_{n+1}(X(n+1))$  is an isomorphism since  $F$  is  $(n+1)$ -connected, and
- 2) the map  $H_{n+2}(F) \rightarrow H_{n+2}(X)$  is surjective, since  $H_{n+2}(X(n+1)) = 0$ .

The isomorphism statement in the Theorem is a consequence of statement 1).

It follows that the map  $h_* : \pi_{n+2}(F) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{n+2}(F)$  is an isomorphism since  $F$  is  $(n+1)$ -connected.

The surjectivity statement of the Theorem is then a consequence of statement 2).  $\square$

### 34 Freudenthal Suspension Theorem

Here's a first consequence of the Hurewicz Theorem (Theorem 33.5):

**Corollary 34.1.** *Suppose  $X$  is an  $n$ -connected space where  $n \geq 0$ .*

*Then the suspension  $\Sigma(X)$  is  $(n+1)$ -connected.*

*Proof.* The case  $n = 0$  has already been done, as an exercise. Suppose that  $n \geq 1$ .

Then  $\Sigma X$  is at least simply connected since  $X$  is connected, and  $\tilde{H}_k(\Sigma X) = 0$  for  $k \leq n+1$ .

Thus, the first non-vanishing homotopy group  $\pi_r(\Sigma X)$  is in degree at least  $n+2$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 34.2.** [*Freudenthal Suspension Theorem*]  
 Suppose  $X$  is an  $n$ -connected pointed Kan complex  
 where  $n \geq 0$ .

The homotopy fibre  $F$  of the canonical map

$$\eta : X \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma X$$

is  $2n$ -connected.

**Remark:** “The canonical map” in the statement of  
 the Theorem is actually the “derived” map, mean-  
 ing the composite

$$X \rightarrow \Omega(\Sigma X) \xrightarrow{j_*} \Omega(\Sigma X_f),$$

where  $j : \Sigma X \rightarrow \Sigma X_f$  is a fibrant model, ie. a weak  
 equivalence such that  $\Sigma X_f$  is fibrant.

*Proof.* In the triangle identity

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma X & \xrightarrow{\Sigma\eta} & \Sigma\Omega\Sigma(X) \\ & \searrow 1 & \downarrow \varepsilon \\ & & \Sigma X \end{array}$$

the space  $\Sigma X$  is  $(n + 1)$ -connected (Corollary 34.1)  
 so that the map  $\varepsilon$  induces isomorphisms

$$\tilde{H}_i(\Sigma\Omega\Sigma X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{H}_i(\Sigma X)$$

for  $i \leq 2n + 2$ , by Corollary 31.4.

It follows that  $\eta$  induces isomorphisms

$$\tilde{H}_i(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{H}_i(\Omega\Sigma X) \quad (1)$$

for  $i \leq 2n + 1$ .

In the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_{n+1}(X) & \xrightarrow{\eta_*} & \pi_{n+1}(\Omega\Sigma X) \\ h \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow h \\ H_{n+1}(X) & \xrightarrow{\cong \eta_*} & H_{n+1}(\Omega\Sigma X) \end{array}$$

the indicated Hurewicz map is an isomorphism for  $n > 0$  since  $\pi_1(\Omega\Sigma X)$  is abelian (Corollary 33.3), while the map  $h : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow H_1(X)$  is surjective by Lemma 33.1 and Lemma 33.2. It follows that  $\eta_* : \pi_{n+1}(X) \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}(\Omega\Sigma X)$  is surjective, so  $F$  is  $n$ -connected.

A Serre spectral sequence argument for the fibre sequence

$$F \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{\eta} \Omega\Sigma X$$

shows that that  $\tilde{H}_i(F) = 0$  for  $i \leq 2n$ , so the Hurewicz Theorem implies that  $F$  is  $2n$ -connected.

In effect,  $E_2^{i,0} \cong E_\infty^{i,0}$  for  $i \leq 2n + 1$  and  $E_\infty^{p,q} = 0$  for  $q > 0$  and  $p + q \leq 2n + 1$ , all by the isomorphisms in (1).

It follows that the first non-vanishing  $H_k(F)$  is in degree greater than  $2n$ .  $\square$

**Example:** The *suspension homomorphism*

$$\Sigma : \pi_i(S^n) \rightarrow \pi_i(\Omega(S^{n+1})) \cong \pi_{i+1}(S^{n+1})$$

is an isomorphism if  $i \leq 2(n-1)$  and is an epimorphism if  $i = 2n-1$ .

In effect, the homotopy fibre of  $S^n \rightarrow \Omega S^{n+1}$  is  $(2n-1)$ -connected.

In particular, the maps  $\Sigma : \pi_{n+k}(S^n) \rightarrow \pi_{n+1+k}(S^{n+1})$  are isomorphisms (ie. the groups stabilize) for  $n \geq k+2$ , ie.  $n+k \leq 2n-2$ .

## References

- [1] Hans Freudenthal. Über die Klassen der Sphärenabbildungen I. Große Dimensionen. *Compositio Math.*, 5:299–314, 1938.
- [2] P. G. Goerss and J. F. Jardine. *Simplicial Homotopy Theory*, volume 174 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1999.