

The Theoretical and Applied Linguistics Laboratory

Le laboratoire de linguistique théorique et appliquée



# Liaison in Ontario French: Contact, Restriction and Second Language

Jeff Tennant & François Poiré Department of French Studies

**Two Franco-Ontarian Communities** 

- French sole mother tongue population: 89.0%

- French sole mother tongue population: 3.5%

Language shift (Francophones not using French as home language): 1.0%

Language shift (Francophones not using French as home language): 73.4%

- French home language: 88.1%

- French home language: 0.9%

## **Objectives**

- Compare liaison in three populations of French speakers in Ontario
  - Franco-Ontarians living in a local majority situation (Hearst)

    - Franco-Ontarians living in a local minority
  - situation (Windsor)
  - Anglophone learners of French as a second language (University students in London)
- · Contribute to a rich and growing body of empirical research on liaison in French

#### **Projet PFC**

- Phonologie du Français Contemporain: International corpus phonology project (Durand, Laks, Lyche 2002, 2005, 2009)
- Document variation in multiple varieties from all areas of the French-speaking world, to present French "in its unity and its diversity"
   Common protocol:

- Interview
   Free conversation
- Word list

#### **French Liaison**

- Categorical
- Laison always (or almost always) made by native speakers: les idées (DET\_N)

  Arriable

  Liaison made more in formal than informal speech: c'est intéressant (following est)

  Tratic

- Apparent liaison context where native speakers would not make a liaison: *les homards* (following "aspirated" h)

## **Projet IPFC**

- InterPhonologie du Français Contemporain: Extension of PFC to learners of French as a second/foreign language

- · Common protocol
  - Reading passage (same as PFC)
     Word repetition
     Word reading

- Different first languages
   German, English, Arabic, Korean, Danish, Spanish, Greek, Italian, Japanese, Dutch, Norwegian, Portuguese, Russian, Swedish, Turkish
- Interview
   Discussion between learners

Hearst

Windsor

## **Speaker Groups**

French as Second Language in Ontario

Majority Anglophone province in an officially bilingual

Learners of French exposed to (at least) two L1 French

Somewhere between second language and foreign

Francophones in majority setting -> Francophones in

A continuum for certain linguistic variables?:

minority situation -> Anglophones

- PFC Hearst
- 6 women, 6 men; 3 generations · PFC Windsor

phonological models

– Reference French

- Laurentian French

language?

- 6 women, 6 men; 3 generations
- IPFC Canadian English - 9 female and 3 male university students
  - · 6 first year (4 of them from immersion background)
  - 6 fourth year (3 spent third year in France; 3 spent third year in Ontario)

#### Method

- Excerpts of three styles of speech (interview, conversation, reading passage) transcribed orthographically in Praat TextGrids
- Liaison contexts coded according to PFC/IPFC
- Codes extracted using Dolmen (Eychenne 2014) to Excel file in which further coding
- Multivariate analysis using GoldVarb

#### **Overall Liaison Results**

	Liaisons made	N	% made
Type of liaison			
Catégorique	2000	2153	92.9%
/ariable	630	3255	19.49
Erratique	12	293	4.19
Task			
Reading	1136	2460	46.29
nterview	950	2050	46.39
Conversation	556	1191	46.79
Corpus			
Hearst	1008	2048	49.29
Vindsor	956	2155	44.49
Anglophones	678	1498	45.39
TOTAL	2642	5701	46.39

#### **Categorical Liaison**

	Liaisons made	N	% made	Weight
Task				
Reading	318	328	97.0%	0.5.
Interview	300	310	96.8%	0.8.
Conversation	125	132	94.7%	0.5.
TOTAL	743	770	96.5%	
Hearst				
	Liaisons made	N	% made	Weight
Task				
Reading	253	263	96.2%	0.573
Interview	333	349	95.4%	0.525
Conversation	127	142	89.4%	0.311
Range				46
TOTAL	713	754	94.6%	
Windsor				
	Liaisons made	N	% made	Weight
Task				
Reading	268	297	90.2%	0.570
Interview	160	178	89.9%	0.560
Conversation	116	154	75.3%	0.305
Range				27

### Variable Liaison

	Liaisons made	N	% made	Weight
Task				
Reading	73	226	32.3%	0.636
Interview	96	465	20.4%	0.485
Conversation	97	525	18.5%	0.454
Range				18
TOTAL	265	1216	22%	
Hearst				
	Liaisons made	N	% made	Weight
Task				
Lecture	67	220	30.5%	0.653
Entrevue	88	492	17.9%	0.484
Conversation	86	539	16.0%	0.450
Range				20
TOTAL	241	1251	19%	
Windsor				
	Liaisons made	N	% made	Weight
Task				
Lecture	38	204	18.6%	n.s.
Conversation	50	332	15.1%	n.s.
Entrevue	36	252	14.3%	n.s.
TOTAL	124	750	16%	

#### Conclusion

- categorical and variable liaisons

  Despite French use restriction in minority context, Windsor speakers retain stylistic competence in the use of variable liaisons
  English influence on non-resyllabification of liaison consonant in Windsor
  Liaison errors rare among Franco-Ontarians
  Anglophono learners
  High level of categorical liaison realization (intermediate-advanced learners). but lower than Franco-Ontarians'

- Learners do not master stylistic dimension of variable liaison Errors: erratic liaisons and consonant substitutions English influence on non-resyllabification of liaison consonant Immersion experience appears to favour liaison acquisition

