Critical Review: The Influence of a Tracheostomy on Aspiration Incidence in Adults

Maria Tsolis & Carly Winzinowich M.Cl.Sc (SLP) Candidates University of Western Ontario: School of Communication Sciences and Disorders

Abstract

The relationship between a tracheostomy tube and the incidence of aspiration is debated in the literature. This critical review examined the available evidence on the impact of a tracheostomy tube on the incidence of aspiration in adult patients. It included seven prospective, within-group, repeated measures studies and one prospective, observational, mixed study. The findings of these studies suggest that there is no causal relationship between a tracheostomy tube. Although the available evidence is suggestive and limited due to small, heterogenous, non-randomized samples, the overall findings suggest that speech language pathologists should not assume aspiration risk in tracheotomized patients.

Introduction

A tracheostomy tube is an artificial airway that is inserted into the trachea to manage upper airway obstruction (Leder & Ross, 2000). The placement of a tracheostomy tube is required for a variety of medical conditions and is routine during many procedures including: Artificial ventilation, sputum excretion, airway management, and certain surgeries (Meerstein et al., 2014;Tong et al., 2015).

There is a debate in the literature regarding the impact of a tracheostomy tube on the physiology of swallowing. Tracheostomy tubes have been associated with an increased risk of aspiration (when a foreign substance enters the airway, increasing the chance of aspiration pneumonia) by impairing numerous mechanisms of the swallow (Ceriano et al., 2014). Some studies report an increase in swallowing dysfunction with the presence of a tracheostomy tube, with reported incidences of aspiration ranging from 50–87% of patients (Kang et al., 2012; Ceriano et al., 2014). However, contrasting evidence presented suggests no significant association between tracheostomies and incidence of aspiration (Leder & Ross, 2000; Leder & Ross, 2005).

The presence of a tracheostomy tube has been linked to dysphagia, which is when an individual has difficulties with any phase of swallowing, which can lead to challenges with the safety or effectiveness of eating or drinking by mouth. The dysfunction of swallowing physiology that has been implicated includes: decreased laryngeal elevation (by tethering the larynx with the tracheostomy tube), decreased maximum hyoid bone movement, obstruction by the tube cuff, loss of subglottic air pressure, and a disruption in the coordination of laryngeal closure (Donzelli et al., 2005; Terk, Leder, & Burrell, 2007; Kang et al., 2012).

Leder et al. (2010) criticized numerous studies for not including pre-tracheotomy data and suggested that this has led to an inflation of significant findings and has contributed to invalid correlations. Furthermore, multiple authors suspect that the underlying medical etiology greatly contributes to the increased risk of aspiration (Leder et al., 2010; Ceriano et al., 2014). Overall, clinical perception of a causal relationship between aspiration and a tracheostomy still remains (Leder & Ross, 2000).

Further understanding this multifaceted relationship is important in order to negate possible aspiration risk, while also providing the best care to patients that require a tracheostomy (Donzelli et al., 2005). This critical review examined the available evidence regarding the impact of a tracheostomy tube on the incidence of aspiration in adult patients.

Overall, the evidence gathered from this review is suggestive. A lack of significance between tracheostomy and aspiration was found across the eight included studies. However, the evidence is limited due to the heterogeneous nature of this population and the restricted sample sizes. Recommendations for future research and clinical practice are provided, including population trends and clinical questions that should be explored in future research.

Objectives

The primary objective of this paper was to critically evaluate the literature regarding the impact of a tracheostomy tube on the incidence of aspiration in adult patients. The secondary objective was to provide recommendations for clinical speech language pathology practice, as well as directions for future research on this topic.

Methods

Search Strategy

Articles related to the topic of interest were found using: PsychINFO, PubMed, and Google Scholar. Keywords used for the database search were as follows: [(Tracheostomy) OR (tracheostomy tube) OR (airway management) AND (dysphagia) OR (aspiration) OR (swallowing)].

Selection Criteria

Papers selected for inclusion were required to investigate aspiration or dysphagia in any adult patient population requiring a tracheostomy. Papers were also required to include a baseline measurement of swallowing function and pre or post tracheostomy placement measures.

Data Collection

Papers included in this review were: seven prospective, within-group, repeated measures studies (level 2b evidence), and a prospective, observational, mixed study (level 3 evidence).

Results

A prospective, consecutive study with a repeated measures design by Leder and Ross (2000) aimed to investigate the causal relationship between tracheostomy and incidence of aspiration, in the acute care setting. The study evaluated 20 patients with heterogeneous etiologies by measuring their baseline dysphagia status as well as their dysphagia status posttracheostomy, using fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation (FEES). FEES is a standard procedure that is routinely performed to visualize if a patient is aspirating or swallowing safely.

Differences between duration of tracheostomy placement and age were analyzed with a t-test, and for non-parametric nominal data the Chi-squared test was applied. The authors found that aspiration status was independent of a tracheostomy. In addition, no significant differences were observed between aspiration status and days since tracheotomy, or with age. The study provides suggestive evidence that there are no significant differences of aspiration status with the presence of a tracheostomy. This is due to the small number of control group participants, as well as not accounting for potential long-term effects of tracheostomies. A later prospective study by Leder et al. (2005) used a within-group repeated measures design and aimed to investigate the effects of the presence of a tracheostomy tube on aspiration status in post-surgical head and neck cancer (HNC) patients. 22 adult, post-operative HNC patients underwent a FEES study under three conditions: (1) tracheostomy tube present, (2) tracheostomy tube removed and tracheostoma covered with gauze sponge; and (3) tracheostomy tube removed.

Neither the presence of a tracheostomy tube nor decannulation affected aspiration status and no significant differences were found. The study provides suggestive evidence of no significant differences in aspiration status with the presence of a tracheostomy, due to the small number of participants and no reliability testing being conducted.

Leder and Ross (2010) completed a replication study to further demonstrate that there is no causal relationship between aspiration and a tracheostomy (Leder & Ross, 2000). The current within-group repeated measures study prospectively analyzed aspiration status pretracheotomy and post-tracheotomy. This study involved a group of 25 hospitalized patients of heterogeneous etiologies.

Mimicking the previous study, patients underwent FEES before and after the presence of a tracheostomy. Both FEES were conducted by an endoscopist who was blinded to the results, and included three puree, three liquid, and one solid consistency. When aspiration was identified, it was confirmed by multiple healthcare professionals with 100% agreement, although this was not blinded. Chi-squared analysis was used to determine that aspiration status was independent of a tracheotomy.

The results found that in a majority of patients (22/25), tracheostomy did not impact aspiration status. Neither the number of days post-tracheostomy nor the patient's age were statistically significant factors in aspiration. The authors concluded a lack of causal relationship and indicated that swallowing can improve with a tracheostomy still in place. The evidence is suggestive given the inclusion of pre-tracheotomy data, however; the small, heterogeneous population should be noted.

Donzelli et al. (2005) investigated if removing a tracheostomy tube would eliminate laryngeal penetration and aspiration. Their study used a repeatedmeasure design of a single group with consecutive data. The study had strict inclusion criteria and involved 37 consecutive patients with suspected or confirmed dysphagia and a variety of medical conditions. the three viewers. One-way analyses of variance (ANOVAs) were completed on the secretion severity scale and a Chi-squared analysis was completed on the presence/absence of a tracheostomy tube and of penetration/aspiration.

A majority of the patients (25/37) had penetration with both the tracheostomy tube in and out, with only two patients demonstrating a change in swallow function once the tube was removed. These results indicate no cause and effect relationship between the presence of a tracheostomy tube and aspiration. The authors speculated that the underlying medical conditions leading to the requirement of a tracheostomy influenced the risk of aspiration over and above the presence of a tracheostomy tube, especially in the case of neurological etiology. This study is suggestive of a lack of relationship within a repeated measures design, however; the heterogeneous population and lack of control group should be considered.

The prospective, consecutive study by Terk, Leder, and Burrell (2007) used a within-group, repeated measures design aimed at investigating the biomechanical effects of a tracheostomy tube, tube capping, and tube cuff deflation on aspiration status. The authors also examined hyoid and laryngeal movement and aspiration status with these conditions. Seven patients in an acute care setting with no swallowing difficulties were included in this study.

A video fluoroscopic swallowing study (VFSS) was conducted during tracheostomy. VFSS is a standard procedure that is used to visualize the physiology of the swallow, completed in combination or independently of a FEES. Differences between the variables were analyzed with the student's t test. Reliability testing with a Pearson correlation was performed on 21% of the data. Intra-observer reliability for combined measurements of maximum hyoid displacement and larynx-to-hyoid approximation was r = 0.97 and interobserver reliability for the absence of aspiration was 100%.

No significant differences were found for maximum hyoid bone displacement and larynx-to-hyoid bone approximation during normal swallowing based on tracheostomy tube presence, tube cuff status, or tube capping status. The study provides suggestive evidence that there are no significant differences between aspiration status with the presence of a tracheostomy. Appropriate measures were put in place to determine suitable reliability with both intra-observer and interobserver reliability, however; the participant group was very small.

The prospective study by Kang et al. (2012) used a within-group repeated measures design that aimed to kinematically investigate the effect of tracheostomy on the swallowing process in patients with swallowing difficulties. Thirteen patients participated that had different types of hemorrhagic strokes. Patients underwent a VFSS study during the time they had a tracheostomy, as well as after the tracheostomy tube was removed.

The authors measured 21 timed interval variables during swallowing in the pharyngeal phase, as well as the extent of laryngeal elevation. During this, they used a gold standard scale to determine the presence of penetration or aspiration. Upon kinematic analysis, no significant difference in any variable pertaining to laryngeal elevation or pharyngeal constriction was found when pre and post-decannulation VFSS test data was compared. This indicates that the removal of a tracheostomy tube does not significantly affect the kinematics of swallowing in stroke patients.

This study provides suggestive evidence that there are no significant differences among between aspiration status and presence of a tracheostomy in the stroke population. Appropriate measures were put in place to determine proper reliability with both intra-observer and interobserver reliability. This study provides additional evidence within a specific population, however; the number of participants is small.

Ceriano et al. (2014) investigated the influence of the underlying disease on the relationship between a tracheostomy and swallowing dysfunction. This singlecenter study was an observational, prospective, repeated-measures design. A total of 187 patients who had been tracheotomized in the ICU were included in the study. These patients were followed at a single rehab unit over the course of thirty-six months. The sample was divided into a neurological group and a pulmonary group, based on their medical diagnosis.

A VFSS was performed to analyze the physiology of the stages of the swallow in each patient and repeated after approximately four weeks of standard rehabilitation treatment. Each swallowing phase was scored for function by two radiologists, with a 96% agreement rate upon initial observation. To determine the abnormality of phases, the percentages of patients in each group were compared, which is not a robust statistical

procedure. To determine the differences between the groups the Mann-Whitney test was used.

It was found that the pulmonary group had worse scores than the neurological group during the initial VFSS when the tracheostomy was in place, and also had less improvement in their swallow physiology post-rehab. This was suspected to be due to the nature of a chronic respiratory disease leading to breathing-swallowing coordination difficulties and to the expected recovery in the neurological patients.

The authors concluded that tracheostomy does not imply swallowing dysfunction, and that a tracheostomy cannula did not significantly impair laryngeal elevation. The evidence provided by this article is equivocal due to the numerous variables that were not controlled and to the lack of randomization or control group, although the findings could be useful when managing these two population groups.

A study by Tong et al. (2015) set out to investigate if dysphagia and aspiration improved following decannulation (removal of the tracheostomy tube), specifically with patients with a traumatic brain injury (TBI). This was a single group design that looked at non-randomized, prospective data. The 17 participants met criteria for having a TBI and a tracheostomy. The small sample was heterogeneous in terms of severity of injury, period of onset to decannulation, and the interval between VFSS.

All patients had a VFSS one month before the placement of a tracheostomy tube and again within 1 month of the procedure. VFSS was used to measure four aspects of the swallow: laryngeal elevation, pharyngeal transit time, post-swallow pharyngeal remnant, and upper esophageal width. VFSS was completed using 3mL of a semi solid solution, while in the chin tucked position. Aspiration was evaluated with a standard aspiration scale.

The study found significant differences in the postswallow pharyngeal remnant and superior esophageal width. Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were administered to compare before and after decannulation results, which was appropriate given the repeated measures design. Importantly, the authors did not find a difference in laryngeal elevation, pharyngeal transit time, or semisolid aspiration status before and after decannulation. The validity of this study should be considered equivocal given the uncontrolled variables and the large variation in period of onset to decannulation (+/- 250 days).

Discussion

This critical review examined the available evidence regarding the impact of a tracheostomy tube on the incidence of aspiration in adult patients. All eight studies provided suggestive evidence of no causal relationship. Of those studies, seven provided suggestive evidence of no significant relationship of a tracheostomy on the physiological function of the swallow.

The one study that did find a significant relationship between tracheostomy and swallow physiology consisted of a small participant sample (n=17) of only TBI patients (Tong et al., 2015). Moreover, the study found that tracheostomy decannulation (removal of the tracheostomy tube) improved the esophageal phase of the swallow but did not find differences in laryngeal elevation or aspiration status.

The consistent finding of no causal relationship among both heterogeneous and homogeneous etiologies further supports that tracheostomies do not significantly affect aspiration status or swallowing physiology across and within a variety of populations. A common conclusion from the included studies was that comorbidities from pre-existing medical diagnosis are more likely the cause of changes in swallow physiology and an increased aspiration risk, over and above the presence of a tracheostomy.

Population trends were noted within and among the reviewed studies. One significant finding from Ceriano et al. (2014) was that patients with respiratory disease were more at risk than neurological patients for negative changes in the swallowing mechanism, likely due to coordination difficulties. This is an important clinical consideration, as more caution should be used with this particular population.

Another significant finding was that more improvement was seen with neurological patients, which can be at least partially attributed to expected recovery (Ceriano et al., 2014). In Donzelli et al.'s (2005) study, a majority of the sample had a neurologic diagnosis, which may have been more influential than the removal of the tracheostomy tube based on Ceriano et al.'s (2014) findings.

Conclusion

Overall, this critical review provides suggestive evidence that there is not a significant impact of a tracheostomy tube on aspiration status and swallowing physiology in adults. Based on the analyses, caution should be used when interpreting the findings clinically until higher level evidence research is conducted. Given the populations in which a tracheostomy tube is required, there is expected heterogeneity and uncontrolled extraneous variables, such as: age, comorbidities, etiology, and time post-tracheostomy. Additionally, ethical and medical concerns prevent randomizing groups, which further decreases the validity of these studies. Similarly, sample size is consistently limited due to the challenges finding patients who fit inclusion criteria.

Further research is required to provide more compelling evidence and to confirm no association between tracheostomies and incidence of aspiration. Future studies with a variety of medical populations should be completed with randomized groups and homogenous samples, with the inclusion of a control group where possible.

More specifically, future studies should focus on replicating the lack of a cause and effect relationship via FEES/VFSS with a sample not including HNC or neurological conditions. These populations are associated with known swallowing difficulties and expected spontaneous recovery regardless of tracheostomy tube presence, therefore making it difficult to isolate the effects of this relationship (Donzelli et al., 2005).

Clinical Implications

The current review did not provide compelling evidence of no association between incidence of aspiration and a tracheostomy. The conclusion from the eight studies reviewed does challenge previous clinical perceptions of this relationship, implying that there is no significant association between incidence of aspiration and a tracheostomy.

Overall, it is recommended that speech language pathologists should not assume an increased incidence of aspiration with the presence of a tracheostomy tube but should consider each patient holistically and make decisions based on medical etiology and present symptoms.

References

Ceriano, P., Carlucci, A., Shreiber, A., Fracchia, C., Cazzani, C., Dichiarante, M. L., Cattani, B., Fassio, C., Segagnia, D., & Nava S. (2014). Changes of swallowing function after tracheostomy: A videofluoroscopy study. *Minerva Anestesiologica*.

- Donzelli, J., Brady, S., Wesling, M., Theisen, M. (2006). Effects of the removal of the tracheostomy tube on swallowing during the fiberoptic endoscopic exam of the swallow (FEES). *Dysphagia*, 20, 283-289.
- Kang, J. Y., Choi, K. H., Yun, G. J., Kim, M. Y., & Ryu, J. S. (2012). Does Removal of Tracheostomy Affect Dysphagia? A Kinematic Analysis. *Dysphagia*, 27(4), 498–503. doi: 10.1007/s00455-012-9396-y
- Leder, S. B., & Ross, D. A. (2000). Investigation of the Causal Relationship Between Tracheotomy and Aspiration in the Acute Care Setting. *The Laryngoscope*, *110*(4), 641–644. doi: 10.1097/00005537-200004000-00019
- Leder, S. B., Joe, J. K., Ross, D. A., Coelho, D. H., & Mendes, J. (2005). Presence of a tracheotomy tube and aspiration status in early, postsurgical head and neck cancer patients. *Head & Neck*, 27(9), 757–761. doi: 10.1002/hed.20239
- Leder, S. B., Ross, D. A. (2010). Confirmation of no causal relationship between tracheotomy and aspiration status: A direct replication study. *Dysphagia*, 25, 35-39.
- Meerwein, C., Pezier, T. F., Schimmer, B. B., Schmid, S., Huber, G. F. (2014). Airway management in head and neck cancer patients undergoing microvascular free tissue transfer: Delayed extubation as an alternative to routine tracheotomy. *Swiss Medical Weekly*, 144:13941.
- Terk, A. R., Leder, S. B., & Burrell, M. I. (2007). Hyoid Bone and Laryngeal Movement Dependent Upon Presence of a Tracheotomy Tube. *Dysphagia*, 22(2), 89–93. doi: 10.1007/s00455-006-9057-0
- Tong, K. K., Choi, J. H., Gyu, J.Y., Lee, J. W., Sung, S. C. (2015). Improved dysphagia after decannulation of tracheostomy in patients with brain injuries. *Annals of Rehabilitation Medicine* 39(5), 778-785.