1. COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR INFORMATION

Instructor: Dr. Lisa Archibald  
Rm 2597, Elborn College  
Ext. 82753  
larchiba@uwo.ca

Office Hours: often Wednesdays 11:00-12:00, or by appointment

Class times: Tuesday, 12:30-2:00; Thursday, 10:00-11:30

2. TEXTBOOK AND COURSE MATERIALS

Readings are listed in the course schedule, and are made available on OWL whenever possible.

The required textbook for DLD1 will be a useful reference for this course as well:

Here are some other texts you might consider purchasing (in alphabetical order):

Note: The Beck et al. & Stone et al. texts are available to browse through the Western Library, and I have the other 2 and will happily let you browse them.

3. COURSE OBJECTIVES

Goals of the Course: (1) To provide sufficient knowledge and basic clinical skills to begin practice in the area of language and related disorders affecting school age children, and (2) to develop clinical reasoning skills necessary to address the ambiguity characteristic of professional practice in this area.

Objectives
1. To review relevant education policies, initiatives, and curriculum especially with regards to special education
2. To explore the range of services provided by speech language pathologists to school age children with language and language-related disorders, and the service delivery models supporting these services
3. To develop a conceptual understanding of the multiple factors affecting language competence and performance including cognitive, affective, and environmental influences
4. To understand the links between language and learning as applied to literacy and other academic domains
5. To provide basic knowledge of age and context appropriate assessment procedures for language and related disorders affecting school age children
6. To provide basic knowledge of age and context appropriate intervention options for language and related disorders affecting school age children
7. To promote flexible, problem-solving in order to apply knowledge in various and novel situations as may be necessary in practice

4. POLICIES

Participation / Attendance
Attendance and participation in all aspects of the course are expectations of the course. Students wishing to document a medical reason for missing classes, assignments, or exams should present such documentation to the Office of the Dean / Counseling office.

Statement of Academic Offences
Scholastic offences are taken seriously. Students are responsible for understanding the nature of, and avoiding the occurrence of, plagiarism and other academic offenses, and are directed to read the appropriate policy, specifically, the definition of what constitutes a Scholastic Offence, at the following Web site:
http://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/academic_policies/appeals/scholastic_discipline_grad.pdf
Students must write their essays and assignments in their own words. Whenever students take an idea or a passage from another author, they must acknowledge their debt both by using quotation marks where appropriate, and by proper referencing such as footnotes or citations. All required papers may be subject to submission for textual similarity review to the commercial plagiarism-detection software under license to the University for the detection of plagiarism. All papers submitted for such checking will be included as source documents in the reference database for the purpose of detecting plagiarism of papers subsequently submitted to the system. Use of the service is subject to the licensing agreement, currently between The University of Western Ontario and Turnitin.com (http://www.turnitin.com)
The penalties for a student guilty of a scholastic offense include refusal of a passing grade in the assignment, refusal of a passing grade in the course, suspension from the University, and expulsion from the University.

Appealing academic evaluations
Students are referred to the CSD student handbook for policies regarding appeals.
Rules of Conduct in the Classroom
Students are expected to maintain the same high standards of conduct and moral judgment in the classroom as will be expected when they become Speech-Language Pathologists/Audiologists. Therefore, they are asked to comply with the following reasonable expectations for classroom conduct:

1. Students and the instructor will behave in a manner that is welcoming, supportive, and respectful of cultural and individual differences at all times.
2. Students are expected to participate in the course by asking questions and contributing comments during lectures.
3. Conduct that could distract fellow students or the instructor during a lecture must be avoided. This includes but is not limited to talking when others are speaking, passing notes, sleeping, and overt inattention.
4. Please arrive on time for class. If you are unavoidably late, please enter quietly and take the nearest seat.
5. Cell phones, MP3 players, and PDAs are to be turned off during class. Receiving and sending text messages should not be undertaken during the lecture.
6. Computers may be used solely for course purposes, e.g., taking notes. Students must not browse the web, use email or engage in instant messaging during class.

Support Services – Health and Wellness
As part of a successful graduate student experience at Western, we encourage students to make their health and wellness a priority. Western provides several on campus health-related services to help you achieve optimum health and engage in healthy living while pursuing your graduate degree. For example, to support physical activity, all students, as part of their registration, receive membership in Western’s Campus Recreation Centre. Numerous cultural events are offered throughout the year. Please check out the Faculty of Music web page http://www.music.uwo.ca/, and our own McIntosh Gallery http://www.mcintoshgallery.ca/. Information regarding health- and wellness-related services available to students may be found at http://www.health.uwo.ca/

Students seeking help regarding mental health concerns are advised to speak to someone they feel comfortable confiding in, such as their faculty supervisor, their program director (graduate chair), or other relevant administrators in their unit. Campus mental health resources may be found at http://www.health.uwo.ca/mental_health/resources.html

To help you learn more about mental health, Western has developed an interactive mental health learning module, found here: http://www.health.uwo.ca/mental_health/module.html. This module is 30 minutes in length and provides participants with a basic understanding of mental health issues and of available campus and community resources. Topics include stress, anxiety, depression, suicide and eating disorders. After successful completion of the module, participants receive a certificate confirming their participation.
5. EVALUATION

Method of Instruction
This course is designed to provide an authentic graduate experience by providing you with the opportunity to take an active role in directing your own learning. The course employs a ‘flipped classroom’ format in which you build your background knowledge and skills using resources outside of class time then you come to class to clarify information, and to discuss the application of what you have learned. The role of the instructor is one of ‘guide on the side’ rather than the more traditional ‘sage on the stage’. This approach was adopted to facilitate intentional learning as defined by Katz and Dack (2013): True learning occurs when the learner is an active participant in constructing knowledge and is constantly thinking about how new information confirms or challenges previously existing beliefs and ideas.

Grading Plan

Formative Assessment
Assessment for/of Learning quizzes no grade available 48 hrs in advance of each session & sometimes completed in class; answer keys distributed after class

Summative Assessment
Participation, reflective evaluation, and social media opportunities 30% completed in class, or within 24 hrs of class, or at times designated for social media events
Case responses 30% completed in class (Oct. 9, 18, 30, Nov. 6, 15, 27)
SLP partner conversation and reports 15%
Final project
Application 5% by Dec. 1
Final project 20% by end of term (Dec. 22)

Formative Assessment
Formative assessment refers to a wide variety of methods used to conduct in-process evaluations of comprehension, learning needs, and academic progress. The information provides feedback that can be used by instructors to modify learning activities and by students to improve their learning. In this course, formative assessment in the form of a ‘reading quiz’ will be used to provide you with an assessment for learning, and an assessment of learning.

- Assessment for Learning is the process of seeking & interpreting evidence regarding where students are in their learning, and where they need to go. By completing the ‘reading quiz’ prior to completing course readings, you will assess your current knowledge and reasoning regarding forthcoming concepts. You are encouraged to use this information to help guide your future learning. Reading quizzes will be available on
the OWL site 48 hrs prior to each class. On occasion, it may be possible to complete quizzes at the end of class in preparation for the following class.

Assessment of Learning is the process of evaluating how well students have learned. By reviewing the answers to each ‘reading quiz’ after learning, you will assess your growth in knowledge and reasoning with regards to the relevant concepts. You are encouraged to use this information to identify learning gaps you may still need to address. The answer key for each quiz will be sent via email after the relevant class.

**Summative Assessment**
Summative assessment typically refers to assessments aimed at evaluating learning outcomes of a program of study. Summative assessment also refers to the graded components of the course.

**Participation, reflective evaluation, and social media opportunities**
Consistent with the flipped classroom / intentional learning approach to this course, engagement with course content through participation in open class discussions or written reflection regarding the facts, ideas, problems, hypotheses, and potential actions for issues or cases under consideration is of paramount importance. Sharing differing views and opinions in an open, ‘error-friendly’ manner is particularly valued.

Class discussions will be based on the readings and questions or cases posed by the instructor, or questions raised by you. During the course of the discussion, the instructor may make ‘cold calls’ to students for contributions and/or reflections. Students have the option of contributing a written response to the Written Participation Forum on the OWL course site as a way of participating in the class. Only written participation comments posted within 24 hrs of a relevant class will be considered as participation for that class.

Participation grading has three components:

1. **Peer & Instructor evaluation:** At each class session, 2-4 students will be assigned the role of peer evaluator such that all students assume this role at least twice. Each peer evaluator will complete the class participation tool, as will the Instructor at the end of the session. Peer evaluators are responsible for representing the variability in individual participation performance across students in their ratings. Should a peer evaluator return a form with uniform scoring (e.g., all students are given a +2 rating), the peer evaluator’s scores for that session will be ignored and that peer evaluator will receive a rating of -2 for the session. Peer evaluations will be kept confidential, although those completing evaluations at any one session may discuss rating patterns generally to promote consensus and consistency. Students who are absent from class will not be penalized on the first occurrence only (i.e., no rating will be assigned). After one missed classed, absent students will be assigned a rating of -2. Postings to the Written Participation Forum will be rated by the Instructor only.

Session ratings will be used to determine a rating for each student for that session, which will then be used to calculate an overall average rating for the course. Ratings will be rank ordered and grades assigned accordingly.

The rating scale for the classroom participation tools is as follows:
-1 or lower – student displays disinterest, is engaged in off-task behaviours such as browsing the internet or checking a mobile device, or shows no evidence of preparation / review of assigned materials
0 – student follows discussion and/or displays signs of interest in discussion
+1 – student makes an effective oral or written contribution
higher than +1 – student makes a particularly meaningful contribution overall

Average rankings and corresponding participation grades are as follows:
- Below 0 - not higher than 60%
- 0 - 70%
- Greater than 0, but less than 1 - 72-82%
- 1 - 85%
- Greater than 1, but less than 2 - 87-92%
- 2 or greater - higher than 92%

2. Reflective evaluation: At each class session (starting after Unit 1), 2-4 students will be assigned the task of completing the reflective evaluation tool. One component of the tool encourages self-evaluation through reflection-in-action (during the discussion) and reflection-on-action (after the discussion). Here, students will evaluate their own participation in the group discussion. The second component of the tool elicits reflective evaluation of the learning process and tools employed during the session using the same rubric as that developed for the final project. Students who are absent from class when assigned this role will not be penalized on the first occurrence only. After the first missed reflective evaluation, the student will be assigned a participation rating of -2 for that session.

3. Social media opportunities

a. Twitter chat: On Tuesday, October 16th (time to be determined), we will engage in a twitter chat with the hashtag #WesternDLD2. The topic of the twitter chat will be ‘Progress Monitoring Tools for Educational Speech Language Pathology’. Four chat questions will be posted prior to the chat. Students who contribute 1 tweet for each question during the chat will receive a participation score of +1 for the session. Higher levels of participation will result in ratings of +2 or +3.

You will need to sign up for a free twitter account at twitter.com (if you don’t already have one) in order to participate in the twitter chat. If you would like to learn about twitter chats, you can view transcripts of previous #WesternDLD2 chats at https://www.uwo.ca/fhs/lwm/teaching/dld2.html.

b. Wakelet Curated Collection: We will be making a curated collection of resources from several online reading programs. You have the option of participating in class, or completing the activities as part of a self-study option. Those who contribute 6 posts and provide 3 comments in response to student colleague posts will receive a
participation mark of +1. Higher levels of participation will result in higher ratings. Only posts completed by Wednesday, November 14th at midnight will be included in the participation score.

You will need to sign up for a free wakelet account at wakelet.com in order to contribute to the wakelets. Further information will be provided in class.

Case responses
On 6 occasions, you will have the opportunity to respond to a case or problem posed incorporating concepts from the course. Case responses will be completed in the last 30 minutes of respective classes either by writing a paper/pen answer or typing an answer online via the OWL course website. You may be asked to describe the problem posed by the case, to provide a hypothesis regarding the nature of the case, to indicate your next actions, to give your reflections on the case, or to describe how you could confirm or disprove your hypothesis. On each occasion, the instructor will mark 75% of student responses chosen at random (from a list of all students enrolled in the class) and provide online feedback. Each question will be marked on a 5-point scale (5 – excellent; 3 – good; 1 – poorly reasoned), and the overall total will be converted to a percentage at the end of term. Case responses must be completed during class time and in class. If no response has been provided by you for a question for which you are selected to be marked, you will not be penalized on the first occurrence only. After that, you will receive 0/5 without adjustment.

SLP partner conversations and reports
A group of SLPs working with school age children with language and language-related disorders have graciously volunteered to act as partners in this course. You will be assigned one (or possibly 2) partner(s). You will have at least three conversations with this SLP corresponding to 3 of the 5 units of the course. You are responsible for making an initial contact with your partner SLP by email and scheduling each of your conversations at your mutual convenience. Although a spoken conversation (via phone or skype, for example) is preferred, any format (including email) is acceptable. Should your SLP partner be unavailable for any conversation, let the instructor know as soon as possible so other arrangements can be made. Please be aware of the privilege it is to receive a gift of time from your SLP partner and do everything possible to contact your SLP partner at each meeting time you set. Should you miss the meeting for some reason, please contact both the instructor and your SLP partner and provide your reasons in a professional manner.

For each conversation, you are responsible for choosing the main questions / issues for discussion. Importantly, conversations should be limited to 30 minutes. Please be sure to stop the conversation after 30 minutes and acknowledge that time is up. After each conversation, post a brief comment in the OWL forum corresponding to the relevant unit in the course. When posting, please avoid identifying information (e.g., name of the employer or SLP). If you wish to include some identifying information (e.g., the name of an intervention program developed at a particular board), please check with your SLP partner before posting. Each conversation/posting is worth 5 points using an all-or-none scoring of 5 points for an informative comment, and 0 points for no comment or an uninformative comment.
Final project
The final project may take any format. It should reflect some meaningful interaction with, and synthesis of, the course materials, as well as the ability to work with knowledge relevant to the area of school age child language and language-related disorders. Projects that score well will provide a useful reference or tool for your use in clinical practice for this population, or will represent your considered and planned approach to your clinical practice with this population.

There are 2 steps to the final project:

Step 1 – Application for final project
In your application for your final project, you will describe the focus of your project and why, what your project will entail, and how your project will contribute to your own clinical understanding or practice. The Instructor may provide feedback regarding your application and require a revision(s). Completion of the application is worth 5 points using an all-or-none scoring regardless of whether or not you are asked to revise your application.

Step 2 – Final project
See the description above as well as the list of potential examples below.

Here are some possible examples:
- a term paper on a topic of your choice
- a mind map organizing your thoughts, approach, and materials
- a video demonstrating a tool or providing important information for any relevant target audience
- a portfolio of materials gathered and integrated across the course
- a web-based organizer for online resources and/or apps
- an inservice for educators on a topic of your choice
- something with a focus on advocacy

You can view examples of past project on the instructor’s lab website: http://www.uwo.ca/fhs/lwm/teaching/dld2.html
You may give your permission for the instructor to post your project on this website when your project is complete and has been reviewed by the instructor.
## TENTATIVE SCHEDULE

- Asterisks (*) mark documents for which a familiarity is required but detailed knowledge is not expected
- FYR – For your reference (review prior to class is not mandatory)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topics/Questions</th>
<th>Resources to Review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thurs. Sept. 6 (1.1)</td>
<td>Course introduction</td>
<td>In class: VIDEO on ‘Thinking Routines’ (scroll to 2nd video on site)(4:36): <a href="http://ww2.kqed.org/mindshift/2016/03/31/when-kids-have-structure-for-thinking-better-learning-emerges/">http://ww2.kqed.org/mindshift/2016/03/31/when-kids-have-structure-for-thinking-better-learning-emerges/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Curriculum</td>
<td>2016 The Kindergarten Program (Ontario) (331 pages*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2006 Language, Grades 1-8 (155 pages*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(FYR) Other curriculum documents are available at:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.edu.gov.on.ca/eng/curriculum/elementary/subjects.html">http://www.edu.gov.on.ca/eng/curriculum/elementary/subjects.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Special education services &amp; exceptionalities; intro to IEPs</td>
<td>2017 Special education in Ontario (246 pages*).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DSM-5 Chart of changes &amp; ASHA comments (7 pages)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Browse sample IEPs:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.edugains.ca/newsite/SpecialEducation/transitions.html">http://www.edugains.ca/newsite/SpecialEducation/transitions.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(FYR) Special Education online resources:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(FYR) 2017-18 Education Funding: A guide to the grants for students needs (23 pages)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(FYR) Special Education Companion (115 pages)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tues. Sept. 11 (1.2)</td>
<td>Education policy – universal design of learning; differentiated instruction; inquiry based learning; inclusive education</td>
<td>2013 Learning for all (74 pages*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2010 Growing success (Learning skills &amp; work habits, p. 10-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2013 Capacity building series. Inquiry-based learning (8 pages)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rix (2009) Educating a syndrome?: Seeking a balance between identifying a learning profile &amp; delivering inclusive education. ASHA Perspectives (7 pages)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VIDEOs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>While watching these videos, refer to this handout summarizing UDL guidelines:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.udlcenter.org/sites/udlcenter.org/files/updateguidelines2_0.pdf">http://www.udlcenter.org/sites/udlcenter.org/files/updateguidelines2_0.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**UNIT 1: ISSUES IN EDUCATIONAL SPEECH LANGUAGE PATHOLOGY**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Resources/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Thurs. Sept. 13 (1.3) | Roles & responsibilities | 2014 CASLPO Reference guide for SLPS employed in school board setting (18 pages*)  
2014 CASLPO Practice stds & guidelines for Ax of children by SLPs (29 pages*)  
(FYR) 2009 OSLA Hdbk: Info for SLPs working in educational settings (16 pages) | VIDEO on Universal Design for Learning (4:36): [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bDvKnY0g6e4](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bDvKnY0g6e4)  
VIDEO on Differentiation in Teaching & Learning (9:53): [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UkIQ6KiyA5U](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UkIQ6KiyA5U)  
(FYR) [Index for Inclusion](index_for_inclusion) (web-based summary; we will look at the tool in Unit 3 & 5)  
Collaborators (educators; professional services; supportive personnel)  
The Department of Education (Newfoundland & Labrador) provides a comprehensive website concerning support services for Education and Early Childhood Development: [http://www.ed.gov.nl.ca/edu/k12/studentsupportservices/index.html](http://www.ed.gov.nl.ca/edu/k12/studentsupportservices/index.html)  
Be sure to browse these 2 resources, in particular:  
- The 2000 Communication Disorders Handbook (125 pages*)  
- The description of their comprehensive assessment (21 pages*)  
Read through the roles of members of the Professional Support Services at TDSB: [http://www.tdsb.on.ca/AboutUs/ProfessionalSupportServices.aspx](http://www.tdsb.on.ca/AboutUs/ProfessionalSupportServices.aspx)  
(FYR) Professional development initiatives for teachers include action research (e.g., 2000 Action research guide for Alberta teachers) and professional learning communities (e.g., 2007 Capacity Building Series on Professional Learning Communities) |
Swenson & Williams (2015) How to collaborate: Five steps for success. Perspectives on School-Based Issues, 16, 122-130 (read Table 2, p. 125-6; 2 pages) | Education funding  
There will be a short presentation on education funding in Ontario (if you’re interested in reading more, you can refer to A23-A25 in the 2017 Special Education Guide)  
(FYR) Education funding  
There will be a short presentation on education funding in Ontario (if you’re interested in reading more, you can refer to A23-A25 in the 2017 Special Education Guide)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topics/Questions</th>
<th>Resources to Review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Thurs. Sept. 20 (2.1) | Oral language | (In class) VIDEO: The neurocognitive model (Part 1) on OWL (25:26)  
Study summaries provided for the following studies (the studies are not required reading):  
Plante et al. (2015) Variability in the language input to children enhances learning in a treatment context. AJSLP, 23, 530-45 (16 pages)  
Wagovich et al. (2015) Semantic-syntactic partial word knowledge growth through reading. AJCLP, 24, 60-71 (12 pages)  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Reading Material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tues. Sept. 25 (2.2)</td>
<td>Written language</td>
<td>(In class) VIDEO: The neurocognitive model (Part 2) on OWL (15:47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ehri (2014) Orthographic mapping in the acquisition of sight word reading, spelling, memory, and vocabulary learning. Scientific Studies of Reading, 18, 5-21 (17 pages)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Naming Speed Deficit Frequently Asked Questions, Center for Reading &amp; Language Research, Tufts University <a href="https://ase.tufts.edu/crlr/documents/FAQNamingSpeedDeficit.pdf">https://ase.tufts.edu/crlr/documents/FAQNamingSpeedDeficit.pdf</a> (2 pages)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wolter et al. (2009) The influence of morphological awareness on the literacy development of first-grade children. LSHSS, 40, 286-298 (14 pages)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thurs. Sept. 27 (2.3)</td>
<td>Executive functions</td>
<td>(In class) VIDEO: The neurocognitive model (Part 3) on OWL (18:21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Diamond (2013) Executive functions. Annu. Rev. Psychol, 64, 135-68 (34 pages)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>An interesting blogpost on development &amp; early educational goals:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Blogpost on what we need to teach kids in school:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(FYR) VIDEO: How memory works (10:18) <a href="http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/nova/body/how-memory-works.html">http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/nova/body/how-memory-works.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Article in the Star on the word gap:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Labels**

Catts et al. (2006) Language deficits in poor comprehenders: A case for the simple view of reading, JSLHR, 49, 278-293 (16 pages)


There will be a short presentation on the new consensus label, Developmental Language Disorder (#devlangdis)

**Application Considerations**

Review the Universal Design for Learning guidelines: [http://www.udlcenter.org/sites/udlcenter.org/files/updateguidelines2_0.pdf](http://www.udlcenter.org/sites/udlcenter.org/files/updateguidelines2_0.pdf)

---

**UNIT 3: ASSESSMENT CONSIDERATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topics/Questions</th>
<th>Resources to Review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thurs. Oct. 4</td>
<td>The Assessment Tool</td>
<td>This session will merge with CSD9648 9-10am, and cover: DLD2 Assessment Tools Spreadsheet Review of the TILLS (FYR) The Test of Early Grammatical Impairment is available here: <a href="https://cldp.ku.edu/rice-wexler-tegi">https://cldp.ku.edu/rice-wexler-tegi</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Classroom assessment tools | Browse these resources:  
CELF-5 Observation Rating Scale - There is a brief description here:  
[http://www.pearsonassess.ca/content/dam/ani/clinicalassessments/ca/programs/pdfs/CELF-5-objectives-descriptions_cdn_lr.pdf](http://www.pearsonassess.ca/content/dam/ani/clinicalassessments/ca/programs/pdfs/CELF-5-objectives-descriptions_cdn_lr.pdf)  
Classroom Performance Checklist (this is an earlier version of the observation rating scale)  
CASL subtest descriptions  
(FYR) Assessment of Fictional Narratives available: [https://bilinguistics.com/evaluation-resources/](https://bilinguistics.com/evaluation-resources/)  
[http://saltsoftware.com/resources/library/clinicalassessment](http://saltsoftware.com/resources/library/clinicalassessment)  
We will look at other classroom assessment tools for our twitter chat  
---  
| Thurs. Oct. 11 | Social communication | In class: VIdeo on self-regulation (10:15):  
[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wJRtbcChy0Y](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wJRtbcChy0Y)  
In class (if time allows): VIDEO on Appreciative Inquiry (3:45):  
[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QzW22wwh1J4](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QzW22wwh1J4)  
DLD2 Quick Reference for Assessment  
DLD2 Assessment Tools Spreadsheet  
Relevant sample report: FullAx_Gr1LangBeh_KK (see OWL site)  
Dodd (2010) Thinking outside the assessment box: Assessing social communicative functioning in students with ASD. Perspectives on School-based Issues, 11, 88-98 (11 pages)  
Winner (n.d.) Assessment of social cognition and related skills.  
[https://www.socialthinking.com/Articles?id=a6607ec5a5b445fb8a099310cecf054](https://www.socialthinking.com/Articles?id=a6607ec5a5b445fb8a099310cecf054)  
Ward & Jacobsen (2014) Executive function situational awareness observation tool. ASHA Perspectives SIG 16 (10 pages)  
### Social competence in kindergarten:


(FYR) Winner’s ‘Social Thinking’ approach is described in detail here: [https://www.socialthinking.com/Articles?name=Social%20Thinking%20Social%20Communication%20Profile](https://www.socialthinking.com/Articles?name=Social%20Thinking%20Social%20Communication%20Profile)


---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tues. Oct. 16</th>
<th><strong>TWITTER CHAT</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>See syllabus item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Better Communication Research Programme’s classroom assessment tool via communication trust: <a href="https://www.thecommunicationtrust.org.uk/resources/resources/resources-for-practitioners/communication-supporting-classroom-observation-tool.aspx">https://www.thecommunicationtrust.org.uk/resources/resources/resources-for-practitioners/communication-supporting-classroom-observation-tool.aspx</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Teacher checklists: Examples of teacher checklists for <a href="#">primary</a> or <a href="#">secondary</a> level students</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Thurs. Oct. 18 | Reading; writing; spelling | DLD2 Quick Reference for Assessment  
DLD2 Assessment Tools Spreadsheet  
Relevant sample report: FullAx_WrittLang_Gr5WW (see OWL site)  

**Putting reading first**, 3rd ed. National Institute for Literacy: The Partnership for Reading (60 pages*)  
Sturm et al. (2012) The developmental writing scale, TLD, 32, 297-318 (22 pages)  
Blogpost on the ‘Words their way’ program (Bear et al., 2008-15; Pearson Ed. Inc.) with link to a description of the assessment tool: [http://www.elltoolbox.com/words-their-way.html#Vdi-QSxViko](http://www.elltoolbox.com/words-their-way.html#Vdi-QSxViko)  
Writing curriculum-based measurement (6 pages, point form): [https://my.vanderbilt.edu/specialeducationinduction/files/2013/07/IA.Writing-CBM.pdf](https://my.vanderbilt.edu/specialeducationinduction/files/2013/07/IA.Writing-CBM.pdf)  

(FYR) Informal reading assessments (cool tools):  
(FYR) A nice review of tests:  
(FYR) A short spelling inventory organized by syllable type: |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Tues. Oct. 23 | Cognition, academic achievement, & the psychoed assessment | In class presentation on WM & language assessment  
DLD2 Quick Reference for Assessment  
DLD2 Assessment Tools Spreadsheet  
Classroom Observation Guide for Executive Functions  
Relevant sample report: FullAx_AudMem_7yXX (see OWL site)  
Bell (2002) The assessment process/Psychoeducational assessment (13 pages)  
(FYR) Childhood Executive Function Inventory (with items marked for the 2 factors established by their research (working memory; inhibition): www.chexi.se  
(FYR) Section 3 of Keys to Effective LD Teaching Practice: http://www.cls.utk.edu/keys_to_ld.html  
| Thurs. Oct. 25 | Culturally & linguistically fair assessment       | Relevant sample report: Consultation_ELL_AA7-5 (see OWL site)  
Browse resources at the Child English as a Second Language Resource Centre: https://www.ualberta.ca/linguistics/cheslcentre  
Read this short blog on topic-focuses vs. topic-associating narratives |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Study summaries provided for the following studies (the studies are not required reading):
Kramer et al. (2009) Dynamic assessment of narratives with grade 3 children in a First Nations community. CJSLPA, 33, 119-128


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UNIT 4: INTERVENING EFFECTIVELY</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topics/Questions</th>
<th>Resources to Review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thurs. Nov. 1</td>
<td>Oral language intervention overview</td>
<td>DLD2 Quick Reference for Intervention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Swenson &amp; Williams (2015) How to collaborate: Five steps for success. Perspectives on School-Based Issues, 16, 122-120 (9 page) (read Table 2, 1 page)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(FYR) This site describes a therapy approach for word finding: https://www.ucl.ac.uk/cs1r/resources/WORDTherapyGuideFolder
(FYR) VIDEO (4:24): Where’s that word https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_y7TJ7Th8cY&feature=player_embedded
(FYR) Oral Language at Your Fingertips (Read about: http://etfovoice.ca/book/oral-language-your-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
DLD2 Quick Reference for Intervention  
Timler et al. (2007) Strategies for promoting generalization of social communication skills in preschoolers and school-aged children. TLD, 27, 167-181 (15 pages)  
Read about these programs:  
School wide social competence - [http://www.pbis.org/school](http://www.pbis.org/school) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| | Adjusting cognitive demands to support learning | There will be a brief presentation on the EF Smart classroom  
(FYR) Ross Greene’s Collaborative & Proactive Solutions: [www.livesinthebalance.org](http://www.livesinthebalance.org)  
(FYR) Retrieval practice: [https://www.cultofpedagogy.com/retrieval-practice/](https://www.cultofpedagogy.com/retrieval-practice/)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thurs. Nov. 8</td>
<td>Early years: Classroom based oral language</td>
<td>DLD2 Quick Reference for Intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(FYR) Social Communication Intervention Project: <a href="http://research.bmh.manchester.ac.uk/scip/projectoverview">http://research.bmh.manchester.ac.uk/scip/projectoverview</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gillam et al. (2014) Classroom-based narrative and vocabulary instruction: Results of an early-stage, nonrandomized comparison study. LSHSS, 45, 204-19 (16 pages)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smith-Lock et al. (2013) Effective intervention for expressive grammar in children with specific language impairment. IJLCD, 48, 265-82 (18 pages)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(FYR) Language in the Classroom: <a href="https://languageintheclass.com/resources/">https://languageintheclass.com/resources/</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(FYR) Language trajectories: <a href="http://www.thecommunicationtrust.org.uk/posts/2017/courtenay-norbury/">http://www.thecommunicationtrust.org.uk/posts/2017/courtenay-norbury/</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early years: emergent literacy</td>
<td>Read about the ‘Read It Again-PreK!’ program <a href="https://earlychildhood.ehe.osu.edu/files/2016/05/Curriculum-Supplement.pdf">https://earlychildhood.ehe.osu.edu/files/2016/05/Curriculum-Supplement.pdf</a> (18 pages*)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-You can download all of the Read It Again program materials here: <a href="https://earlychildhood.ehe.osu.edu/">https://earlychildhood.ehe.osu.edu/</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>See infographics from Amanda Van Horne’s 2018 facebook discussion: <a href="https://sites.udel.edu/chsttell/about/recent-talks-and-papers/">https://sites.udel.edu/chsttell/about/recent-talks-and-papers/</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>CURATED COLLECTION</td>
<td>National Reading Panel: Teaching children to read</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Beyond early years: Classroom based oral language activities
In class:
VIDEO (2:25): Talking moves (love the ‘I agree with you’ hand signal!)
https://www.teachingchannel.org/videos/student-participation-strategy
VIDEO (3:22): Great teachers (love the visual comprehension checks!)
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7biQ4-3X5xU
VIDEO (6:11): Academic conversations with ELLs (love the explicit focus on discourse)
https://www.teachingchannel.org/videos/improve-conversation-skills-ells-ousd
VIDEO (3:24): I do, We do, You do
https://www.teachingchannel.org/videos/modeling-strategy-getty
VIDEO (1:41) Vocabulary Paint Chips
https://www.teachingchannel.org/video/build-student-vocabulary

(FYR) Other resources on vocabulary development:
Blogpost by ‘Verbivore Teacher’ on vocabulary in the classroom:
https://verbivoreblog.wordpress.com/2017/07/06/vocabulary-report-201617/
3 tiers of vocabulary: http://www.readingrockets.org/article/choosing-words-teach
Reading for meaning in science: http://thescienceteacher.co.uk/reading-for-meaning-in-science/

(FYR) Phonological awareness resources
http://www.education.canterbury.ac.nz/people/gillon/resources.shtml
(FYR) Phonological vs. phonemic awareness:
http://www.readingrockets.org/helping/target/phonologicalphonemic

A phonics program: www.progressivephonics.com
A program aimed at phonological awareness, phonics, and strategies:
Empower: https://www.sickkids.ca/LDRP/Empower-Reading/Program-description/index.html
Read about the Empower reading strategies: https://www.sickkids.ca/LDRP/Empower-Reading/Program-description/Empower-Reading-Strategies/index.html

3 tiers of vocabulary: http://www.readingrockets.org/article/choosing-words-teach
Reading for meaning in science: http://thescienceteacher.co.uk/reading-for-meaning-in-science/
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thurs. Nov. 15</th>
<th>(Cont’d) Beyond early years: Classroom based oral language activities</th>
<th>DLD2 Quick Reference for Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- A program aimed at reading fluency and vocabulary: [http://www.voyagersopris.com/curriculum/subject/literacy/rave-o/overview](http://www.voyagersopris.com/curriculum/subject/literacy/rave-o/overview)
- A program integrating science concepts & reading: [http://cori.umd.edu/](http://cori.umd.edu/)
- A balanced approach: [http://www.oise.utoronto.ca/balancedliteracydiet/Home/](http://www.oise.utoronto.ca/balancedliteracydiet/Home/)
- Florida Centre for Reading Research: [http://www.fcrr.org/curriculum/SCAindex.shtm](http://www.fcrr.org/curriculum/SCAindex.shtm)

(FYR) Erickson (2017) Comprehensive literacy instruction, interprofessional collaborative practice, & students with severe disabilities. AJSLP, 26, 193-205 (13 pages)

Méndez et al. (2015) A culturally and linguistically responsive vocabulary approach for young latino dual language learning. JSLHR, 58, 93-106 (14 pages*)
Starling et al. (2012) Training secondary school teachers in instructional language modification techniques to support adolescents with language impairment: A randomized controlled trial LSHSS, 43, 474-495 (22 pages*)
| Tues. Nov. 20 | Supporting reading development: Word recognition | DLD2 Quick Reference for Intervention  
Wolter & Green (2013) Morphological awareness intervention in school-age children with language and literacy deficits: A case study. TLD, 33, 27-41 (p. 27-33, 7 pages)  
Study summaries provided for the following studies (the studies are not required reading):  
(FYR) Literacy resources for parents and professionals: https://www.smartspeechtherapy.com/free-literacy-resources-for-parents-and-professionals/?utm_source=feedburner&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=Feed%3A+SmartSpeechTherapyLlc+%28Smart+Speech+Therapy+LLC%29 |  
(FYR) Resources on treating complex sentence / syntax:  
https://www.theinformedslp.com/qa_treatingsyntax.html  
(FYR) Language in the classroom: https://languageintheclass.com/goals-scope-and-sequence-linked-to-activities/ |  
| Thurs. Nov. 22 | Supporting reading development: morphological awareness | DLD2 Quick Reference for Intervention  
In class presentation on morphological awareness & intervention  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Review the CORI assessment tools here: <a href="http://cori.umd.edu/measures/">http://cori.umd.edu/measures/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Why words matter in math (blog) <a href="https://blog.bedrocklearning.org/blog/maths-academic-vocabulary">https://blog.bedrocklearning.org/blog/maths-academic-vocabulary</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tues. Nov. 27</td>
<td>Supporting reading development: text comprehension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DLD2 Quick Reference for Intervention</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|                       | Snowling & Hulme (2011) Evidence-based interventions for reading and language difficulties:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thurs. Nov. 29</th>
<th>Supporting writing development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DLD2 Quick Reference for Intervention</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Study summaries provided for the following studies (the studies are not required reading):

Berninger et al. (2008) Tier 3 specialized writing instruction for students with dyslexia. Reading & Writing, 21, 95-129 (36 pages)
Perry (2017) A mixed methods study of expository paragraph writing in English-proficient, Hispanic, middle school students with writing weaknesses. SIG 1, 2 (Part 3), 151-167.

Browse these resources:

- Sound/Word Level

Recall the ‘Words their way’ program from the assessment unit.

- Creating a virtuous circle. British J. of Educ. Psychol., 81, 1-23 (p. 10-23, 13 pages)
- Neuffeld (2005) Comprehension instruction in content area classes. The Reading Teacher, 59, 303-312 (11 pages)

Browse the strategies on the Reading Rockets website (many with videos):
[http://www.readingrockets.org/strategies](http://www.readingrockets.org/strategies)

(FYR) Ukrainetz (2016) Strategic intervention for expository texts: Teaching text preview & lookback. ASHA Perspectives, SIG 1, Vol1(part 3)
(FYR) Centre for Literacy in Primary Education: [https://clpe.org.uk/library-and-resources/reading-and-writing-scales](https://clpe.org.uk/library-and-resources/reading-and-writing-scales)
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## Sentence Level

More on sentence combining:


**Discourse/Composition level**
Transition words for individual/class cue cards: http://www.smart-words.org/linking-words/transition-words.html

Examples of writing frames: http://writingframes.parkfieldprimary.com/


Assistive technology for reading and writing
http://dyslexiahelp.umich.edu/tools/software-assistive-technology

### UNIT 5: SPECIAL POPULATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topics/Questions</th>
<th>Resources to Review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Tues. Dec. 4 | ADD; APD; Autism/Social Pragmatic Communication Disorder | DLD2 Quick Reference for Special Populations  
Recall this reading from DLD1: Pauls & Norbury (2012) ch. 4 (36 pages)  
Redmond (2016) Markers, models, and measurement error: Exploring the links between attention deficits and language impairments. JSLHR, 59, 62-71 (10 pages)  
Kamhi (2011) What SLPs need to know about auditory processing disorder. LSHSS, 42, 265-272 (8 pages)  
Ferguson et al. (2011) Communication, listening, cognitive and speech perception skills in children with auditory processing disorder (APD) or specific language impairment (SLI). JSLHR, 54, 222-225 (4 pages)  
Swineford et al. (2014) Social (pragmatic) communication disorder: A research review of this new... |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thurs. Dec. 6</th>
<th>NVLD; Selective Mutism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DLD2 Quick Reference for Special Populations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hungerford et al. (2011) A socio-communication intervention model for selective mutism. ASHA Convention, Chicago (5 pages)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Johnson &amp; Wintgens (2005) Selective mutism: Planning &amp; managing intervention with small-step programmes (9 pages)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Read this blog on selective mutism: <a href="https://blog.kinstantly.com/selective-mutism/">https://blog.kinstantly.com/selective-mutism/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DSM-5 diagnostic category. J. Neurodev. Dis., 6, 41 (8 pages)

(FYR) Information on Ontario’s PPM140 (Incorporating ABA for children with ASD) can be found at http://www.edu.gov.on.ca/extra/eng/ppm/140.html, see also recent funding changes (and controversy) to the Autism Ontario program: http://www.children.gov.on.ca/htdocs/english/specialneeds/autism/ontario-autism-program.aspx#


(FYR) The Communication Matrix (An assessment tool for children who are not speaking or writing to communicate): https://communicationmatrix.org/Matrix/About#forPractitioners

(FYR) AAC Assessment Planning Tool: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CvrR1EJYTDQ

(FYR) Communication Supports Inventory: http://survey.icfcy.org/