

Student name: _____

Faculty Initials: _____

Criteria	Average				
	Unsatisfactory				Excellent
<u>Presentation: Overall.</u> Interesting topic, appropriate length, good story, effective handling of the material and demonstration of main concepts.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Presentation: Scientific Content.</u> Appropriate Breadth and Depth, right level of the discussion for the audience, chemistry content. All main concepts introduced and properly discussed. Broader research context provided.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Presentation: Technical-1.</u> Structure, Organization, consistent, legible, good use of colour, uncluttered slides, proper use of literature, proper references	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Presentation: Technical-2.</u> Figures/plots easy to see and clearly explained. Info is relevant. Technical jargon minimized. Appropriate use of multimedia.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Delivery: Speaker:</u> Presented with confidence. Pointer use keeping in mind online delivery. Stimulated audience attention	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Delivery: Oration.</u> Volume, tempo, flow, enthusiasm, transitions, articulation	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Presentation: Summary.</u> Concise and Scientifically Sound conclusions, analysis of goals, hypotheses, results, limitations, future work. Take home message.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Comprehension.</u> Questions answered with confidence/clarity. Good understanding of the topic concepts and broader context.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Presentation: Comprehension.</u> Good understanding of the topic was evident. Topic well explained. Critical assessment of the scientific content was present.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Overall impression of the seminar.</u>	1	2	3	4	5

Overall Score:

/50