

Polynomial Transformations of Tschirnhaus, Bring and Jerrard

Victor S. Adamchik
 Department of Computer Science,
 Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA
 adamchik@cs.cmu.edu

David J. Jeffrey
 Department of Applied Mathematics,
 The University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada
 djeffrey@uwo.ca

Abstract

Tschirnhaus gave transformations for the elimination of some of the intermediate terms in a polynomial. His transformations were developed further by Bring and Jerrard, and here we describe all these transformations in modern notation. We also discuss their possible utility for polynomial solving, particularly with respect to the Mathematica poster on the solution of the quintic.

1 Introduction

A recent issue of the BULLETIN contained a translation of the 1683 paper by Tschirnhaus [10], in which he proposed a method for solving a polynomial equation $P_n(x)$ of degree n by transforming it into a polynomial $Q_n(y)$ which has a simpler form (meaning that it has fewer terms). Specifically, he extended the idea (which he attributed to Decartes) in which a polynomial of degree n is *reduced* or *depressed* (lovely word!) by removing its term in degree $n - 1$. Tschirnhaus's transformation is a polynomial substitution $y = T_k(x)$, in which the degree of the transformation $k < n$ can be selected. Tschirnhaus demonstrated the utility of his transformation by apparently solving the cubic equation in a way different from Cardano.

Although Tschirnhaus's work is described in modern books [9], later works by Bring [2, 3] and Jerrard [7, 6] have been largely forgotten. Here, we present the transformations in modern notation and make some comments on their utility. The investigations described here were stimulated by work on the Quintic poster [11] and therefore the discussion is directed towards the quintic. We shall deal with the following forms of a quintic:

$$x^5 + a_4 x^4 + a_3 x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_1 x + a_0 = 0, \quad \text{General quintic form} \quad (1)$$

$$x^5 + b_3 x^3 + b_2 x^2 + b_1 x + b_0 = 0, \quad \text{Reduced quintic form} \quad (2)$$

$$x^5 + c_2 x^2 + c_1 x + c_0 = 0, \quad \text{Principal quintic form} \quad (3)$$

$$x^5 + d_1 x + d_0 = 0. \quad \text{Bring—Jerrard quintic form} \quad (4)$$

2 Tschirnhaus's solution of the cubic

Before considering the quintic, we look at the cubic. Tschirnhaus transformed the depressed cubic $x^3 + px + q = 0$ into the binomial $y^3 + r = 0$. This can be done efficiently using the resultant, which is a tool from after Tschirnhaus's

time, of course. Let $P_3(x)$ be the cubic and let $T_2(x, y)$ be Tschirnhaus's transform, then we have

$$P_3(x) = x^3 + px + q = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$T_2(x, y) = x^2 + \alpha x + \frac{2}{3}p + y = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\text{res}_x(P_3, T) = y^3 + (p\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{3}p^2 + 3\alpha q)y + \frac{2}{3}\alpha^2 p^2 - \alpha^3 q + q^2 + \alpha p q + \frac{2}{27}p^3. \quad (7)$$

Setting the coefficient of y in (7) to zero, we obtain a quadratic in α . Either root for α yields the equation

$$y^3 = 9q^3\alpha/p^2 + \frac{4}{3}\alpha p q - \frac{8}{27}p^3 - 2q^2,$$

and so 3 values for y are obtained. Tschirnhaus substituted the value(s) for y into (6) and solved for x . However, he failed to point out that this results in 6 values for x . The equation obeyed by these 6 values can be obtained by eliminating y between (7) and (6), and it is

$$(x^3 + px + q)(x^3 + 3\alpha x^2 + x[2p^2 - 9\alpha q]/p + \frac{4}{3}\alpha p + 9\alpha q^2/p^2 - 2q) = 0. \quad (8)$$

After each root has been computed, it must be tested to see which factor it satisfies.

3 General quintic to principal quintic

To apply Tschirnhaus's idea to the quintic, we use the formulae for the power-sums of the quintic roots. This method is more interesting than simply using the resultant again, and in addition is actually easier to work with. Denote the roots of (1) by x_i , $i = 1, \dots, 5$, and let

$$S_n = S_n(x_k) = \sum_{k=1}^5 x_k^n$$

be the sum of the n th powers. Following Newton [5], a general representation for S_n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is

$$S_n = -n a_{5-n} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} S_{n-j} a_{5-j},$$

with $a_j = 0$ for $j < 0$. Special cases are $S_1 = -a_4$, $S_2 = a_4^2 - 2a_3$, $S_3 = -a_4^3 + 3a_3a_4 - 3a_2$,

$$S_4 = a_4^4 - 4a_3a_4^2 + 4a_2a_4 + 2a_3^2 - 4a_1, \quad (9)$$

$$S_5 = -a_4^5 + 5(a_3a_4^3 - a_2a_4^2 - a_3^2a_4 + a_1a_4 - a_0 + a_2a_3). \quad (10)$$

Supposing that the roots x_k of (1) are related to roots y_k of (3) by a quadratic Tschirnhaus transformation

$$y_k = x_k^2 + \alpha x_k + \beta \quad (11)$$

we wish to determine α and β subject to the requirement that they are expressed algebraically in terms of the coefficients. The power sums for (3) are $S_1(y_k) = S_2(y_k) = 0$,

$$S_3(y_k) = -3c_2, \quad S_4(y_k) = -4c_1, \quad S_5(y_k) = -5c_0. \quad (12)$$

Evaluating $S_1(y_k)$ and $S_2(y_k)$ using (11), we obtain equations for α and β . After some simplification,

$$\alpha a_4 - 5\beta + 2a_3 - a_4^2 = 0, \quad (13)$$

$$\alpha^2 a_3 - 10\beta^2 + \alpha(3a_2 - a_3a_4) + 2a_1 - 2a_2a_4 + a_3^2 = 0. \quad (14)$$

This system is quadratic with respect to α and β , and thus will produce two sets of coefficients. We are free to choose either of these. After finding α and β , we use the last three equations, those in (12), to obtain c_0 , c_1 , c_2 .

As Tschirnhaus pointed out, transformation (11) can be applied to a general polynomial of degree n to remove terms in x^{n-1} and x^{n-2} .

4 Transformation to a Bring-Jerrard form

Removing, from a general quintic, the three terms in x^4 , x^3 and x^2 , brings it to Bring—Jerrard form. Tschirnhaus clearly thought that he would be able to do this by using the cubic transformation

$$z_k = x_k^3 + \alpha x_k^2 + \beta x_k + \gamma. \quad (15)$$

This section shows that it is not always possible to solve for the coefficients α, β, γ in terms of radicals, which are the quantities that Tschirnhaus would have been expecting to use.

To reduce the size of the equations, we shall start with a principal form cubic $x^5 + c_2 x^2 + c_1 x + c_0 = 0$ and eliminate the x^2 term. Extending the approach of the previous section in the obvious way, we use the power sums for the new quintic

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(z_k) &= S_2(z_k) = S_3(z_k) = 0, \\ S_4(z_k) &= -4d_1, \\ S_5(z_k) &= -5d_0. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

From the first three equations in (16) we determine α, β, γ . The remaining two equations will determine the new coefficients. Using (15) to evaluate S_1, S_2, S_3 , we obtain three equations for the parameters:

$$5\gamma - 3c_2 = 0, \quad (17)$$

$$5\gamma^2 - 10\alpha c_0 - 4\alpha^2 c_1 - 8\beta c_1 - 6\alpha\beta c_2 - 6\gamma c_2 + 3c_2^2 = 0, \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 5\gamma^3 - 15\alpha^2\beta c_0 - 15\beta^2 c_0 - 30\alpha\gamma c_0 - 12\alpha\beta^2 c_1 - 12\alpha^2\gamma c_1 - 24\beta\gamma c_1 + 9c_0 c_1 + \\ 12\alpha c_1^2 - 3\beta^3 c_2 - 18\alpha\beta\gamma c_2 - 9\gamma^2 c_2 + 24\alpha c_0 c_2 + 21\alpha^2 c_1 c_2 + \\ 21\beta c_1 c_2 + 3\alpha^3 c_2^2 + 18\alpha\beta c_2^2 + 9\gamma c_2^2 - 3c_2^3 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

In general this system is not solvable by radicals. We can easily see this by eliminating β and γ . This will produce a sixth degree polynomial equation in α .

In 1796, Bring [2, 3] found a way around this problem. He considered a quartic transformation,

$$z_k = x_k^4 + \alpha x_k^3 + \beta x_k^2 + \gamma x_k + \delta, \quad (20)$$

that offers an extra parameter. Varying this parameter Bring was able to decrease the degrees of the equations for the rest of parameters. Substituting (20) into (16), we get a system of five equations with six unknown variables. From the equation

$$S_1(z_k) = 5\delta - 4c_1 - 3\alpha c_2 = 0,$$

we find $\delta = \frac{4}{5}c_1 + \frac{3}{5}c_2\alpha$. The second equation

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(z_k) &= -10\alpha\beta c_0 - 4\beta^2 c_1 + \frac{4}{5}c_1^2 + 8c_0 c_2 + \frac{46}{5}\alpha c_1 c_2 \\ &+ \frac{6}{5}\alpha^2 c_2^2 + 6\beta c_2^2 - 2\gamma(5c_0 + 4\alpha c_1 + 3\beta c_2) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

relates β and γ . The trick is to choose a β that will make the coefficient of γ in (21) vanish. The value is

$$\beta = -\frac{5c_0}{3c_2} - \frac{4c_1}{3c_2}\alpha. \quad (22)$$

Therefore, equation (21) now depends only on α and is a quadratic.

$$\alpha^2(27c_2^4 - 160c_1^3 + 300c_0 c_1 c_2) + \alpha(27c_1 c_2^3 - 400c_0 c_1^2 + 375c_0^2 c_2) + 18c_1^2 c_2^2 - 45c_0 c_2^3 - 250c_0^2 c_1 = 0. \quad (23)$$

Finally, setting the sum of the cubes of (20) to zero, $S_3(z_k) = 0$, we obtain a cubic equation for γ . The equation will not be shown. Therefore all of the intermediate quantities can be found in terms of radicals.

This work was generalized by Jerrard [7, 6] (it is most likely that Jerrard was not aware of Bring's research) to show that the transformation (20) could be applied to a polynomial of degree n to remove the terms in x^{n-1} , x^{n-2} and x^{n-3} . In particular, Jerrard claimed that he developed a method for solving a general quintic. Hamilton was asked to report on this. In his detailed report, Hamilton showed that Jerrard had not completely solved the general quintic equation by radicals. The report is available at <http://www.maths.tcd.ie/pub/HistMath/People/Hamilton/Jerrard/>.

5 Discussion

Starting with a general quintic (1), the transformation (20) produces the Bring–Jerrard form. In the case of a solvable quintic, the roots can be found using the 1771 formulae of Malfatti [8], who was the first to “solve” the quintic using a resolvent of sixth degree. In 1948, G. Watson [1] gave a public lecture in which he outlined step-by-step a procedure for solving a (solvable) quintic in radicals. In 1991, Dummit [4] developed exact formulas for the roots of solvable quintics.

The irreducible quintic can be solved in terms of Jacobi theta functions, as was first done by Hermite in 1858. Once we have the 5 solutions, we must invert the Tschirnhaus-Bring transformation to obtain the solutions to the original quintic. Thus we shall in general obtain 20 candidates for five solutions. There is no way of knowing which ones are correct without numerical testing. It is interesting to note that if one used Tschirnhaus's cubic transformation to solve a quintic (using something other than radicals), then one would obtain 15 solution candidates. By using a quartic transformation, Bring and Jerrard simplified the intermediate expressions at the price of now generating 20 solution candidates. Clearly, as symbolic solutions, any of these methods have their limitations, since we (or a computer system) can present only a list of solution candidates, rather than guaranteed solutions.

There is a Mathematica implementation of the Tschirnhaus-Bring transformation available from the Wolfram Research web site at <http://library.wolfram.com/infocenter/TechNotes/158/>. On this page you will find a set of notebooks that demonstrate various approaches to the solution of the quintic.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank M. Trott for helpful discussions and comments. We would like to thank Luigi Pepe and Henrik Kragh Soerensen for help locating Malfatti's original paper. The first-named author was supported by grant CCR-0204003 from the National Science Foundation.

References

- [1] B. Berndt, B. K. Spearman, K. S. Williams, Commentary on an unpublished lecture by G. N. Watson on solving the quintic, *Math. Intell*, **24** (2002), 15-33.
- [2] E. S. Bring, *Meletemata quaedam mathematica circa transformationem aequationum algebraicarum*, Lund, 1786.
- [3] E. S. Bring, *Quart. J. Math.*, **6** (1864).
- [4] D. S. Dummit, Solving solvable quintics, *Mathematics of Computation*, **57** (1991), 387–401. (corrigenda, *Math. Comp.*, **59** (1992), 309)
- [5] J. A. Eidswick, A proof of Newton's power sum formula, *American Mathematical Monthly*, **75** (1968), 396–397.
- [6] G. B. Jerrard, *An essay on the resolution of equations*, Taylor and Francis, 1859.
- [7] G. B. Jerrard, On the possibility of solving equations of any degree however elevated, *Phil. Mag. Ser.*, **4**, **3** (1852), 457–460.

- [8] Gianfrancesco Malfatti, De aequationibus quadrato-cubicis dissertatio analitica. Atti dell'Accademia delle scienze di Siena, vol. IV (1771). pp. 129–185. (Contained in: Gianfrancesco Malfatti, Opere, volume I, Roma, Edizioni Cremonese, 1981, pp. 33–91.)
- [9] Jean-Pierre Tignol, Galois' theory of algebraic equations, Longman, New York : Wiley, 1988.
- [10] E. Tschirnhaus, A method for removing all intermediate terms from a given equation, THIS BULLETIN, Vol 37 (1), No. 143, (2003) 1–3. [Original: Methodus auferendi omnes terminos intermedios ex data equatione, *Acta Eruditorium*, 2 (1683), 204-207]
- [11] Poster: *Solving the Quintic*, Wolfram Research, Inc. Champaign, IL, 1995.
<http://library.wolfram.com/examples/quintic/>.

Announcement: Call for Presentations for Maple Summer Workshop 2004

July 11th — 14th, Wilfrid Laurier University
 Waterloo, Ontario, Canada

The call for presentations for MSW 2004 is now open. Deadline for abstract submission is January 16th, 2004. The Maple Summer Workshop is an annual international meeting that brings together members of the Maple community to share techniques and experiences with the Maplesoft suite of products. The program combines guest speakers, interactive tutorials, contributed sessions, and Q&A with Maple developers and executives - all with a shared focus on innovative usage of Maple in education, research, and industry.

Guidelines for Abstract Submission

The program committee welcomes abstracts on:

Maple in education (all levels and disciplines); Maple, MapleNet, or Maple T.A. in distance education and/or Web-based learning; Applications of MapleNet or Maple T.A.; Maple in mathematics research; Applications of Maple in engineering and science.

Proposals must consist of a title, an abstract of $\frac{1}{2} - 1$ page, a list of key words, and a specification of the intended audience. All submissions will be considered for one of two forums:

- (1) Presentation during a formal contributed session (2) Poster session

Participants selected to present during the formal contributed session are invited to “posterize” their presentations, and participate in both forums. MSW registration fees will be waived for participants selected to present as part of the contributed sessions only. Other participants will be charged regular registration rate.

MSW Proceedings

All accepted abstracts will be included in the final proceedings. Submission of a final paper is optional, and all such papers will appear in the proceedings. Presenters opting to submit a final paper for inclusion in the final proceedings must do so by May 21st, 2004. The final proceedings will be published to a CD. Formatting guidelines for paper submission are available at <http://www.maplesoft.com/msw/abstracts.shtml>.

Submit proposals for presentations to mawabstracts@maplesoft.com, or by mail to: Maplesoft, C/O Robert J. Lopez, MSW Program Chair, 615 Kumpf Drive, Waterloo, ON , Canada N2V 1K8

Key Dates:

Deadline for abstract submission: Friday, January 16th, 2004

Notification of Acceptance: Friday, February 27th, 2004

Deadline for final paper submission: Friday, May 21st, 2004

For more details, visit www.maplesoft.com/msw.