STANDARD &POOR'S

Standard & Poor's Research

December 22, 2010

Summary: University of Western Ontario

Primary Credit Analyst: Greg Moore, Toronto (1) 416-507-2508; gregory_moore@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact: Suleman Souleyman, Toronto (1) 416-507-2514; suleman_souleyman@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

www.standardandpoors.com

Summary: University of Western Ontario

Credit Rating: AA/Negative/--

Rationale

The ratings on the University of Western Ontario (UWO or Western) reflect Standard & Poor's Ratings Services' view of the following factors:

- Strong student demand. Excluding its affiliates, UWO had about 29,000 full-time equivalent (FTE) students in fiscal 2010. The average entry grade of full-time first-year students was 87%, slightly below that of Queen's University (AA+/Negative/--). Western's selectivity is improving; its acceptance rate fell to 58% for fall 2010 students from 66% in fall 2005. Graduate students made up about 18% of fiscal 2010 enrolment. UWO is making excellent traction in increasing graduate enrolment; it now has a proportion of graduate students more similar to that of other rated Canadian research-intense universities. Graduate growth has also helped support the university's strong research profile, which ranks among the top 10 in Canada. Robarts Research Institute and Lawson Health and Research Institute are leading Canadian medical research centers and form an integral part of Western's research activities;
- A sound management team that has implemented several difficult initiatives to contain expenditures and nonpension postemployment liabilities (such as dental and prescription drugs). Furthermore, management has stipulated that the university will maintain a minimum ongoing operating reserve. It is also addressing Western's capital maintenance backlog by raising its annual transfer from operations toward the backlog each year. We expect management to lift the two-year freeze on these increases next year; and
- Stable government support. Stable operating grants from the Province of Ontario (AA-/Stable/A-1+) account for 46% of UWO's revenues, which helps underpin the ratings. In addition to a base operating grant (which it provides per FTE), the province also has a history of providing additional grant envelopes, or one-time year-end disbursements to alleviate operating and capital pressures. Given Ontario's large deficit, however, its medium-term financial support for universities could be constrained. Nevertheless, the province can also enact a more flexible tuition-setting policy to replace the one expiring in 2012.

We believe credit concerns include:

- A weakened balance sheet. In fiscal 2010, Western held unrestricted financial resources of C\$131 million. While this is an improvement from 2008 and 2009 totals, the rebound has not met our expectations of returning to pre-2008 levels. Unrestricted financial resources now cover 60% of total debt, compared with 124% in 2007. Nevertheless, this highlights an important difference between UWO and its peers when considering nonfunded postemployment liabilities: For the university, the ratio is improving, while the reverse is true for most other institutions. This highlights the benefit of Western's defined contribution pension plan. In addition, debt metrics could tighten further during the next five years as Western considers further borrowing as a financing option; and
- The tight operating environment that, like all rated Canadian universities, UWO has been subject to in recent years. Nevertheless, we believe the university has exhibited strong resilience, posting a surplus 4.8% of operating revenue in fiscal 2010 after implementing cost-containment initiatives. Western's surpluses (and deficits) have

been partially affected by and generally move in tandem with investment income, which rebounded substantially in 2010. The other key attribute of the university's budget that has made it more resilient than that of its peers is its essentially balanced pension plan, for which it does not have the same special payment funding concerns that nearly all other rated Canadian universities must budget. Given that salaries and benefits are by far the most significant spending pressure at Canadian universities, UWO has a notably better performance outlook.

Outlook

The negative outlook reflects our expectation that Western's weakened balance sheet might not rebound to its previous levels in the near term despite improving operating performance. We could lower the ratings if, in the next two years, unrestricted financial resources do not improve to at least fiscal 2007 levels, debt substantially rises, operations return to deficit, or if government financial support diminishes. We could revise the outlook to stable if unrestricted financial resources rise to near-previous totals, the debt burden remains close to current levels, and operating performance maintains its positive trend, all else being equal.

Related Criteria And Research

General Criteria: Enhanced Methodology And Assumptions For Rating Government-Related Entities, June 29, 2009

Copyright © 2011 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC (S&P), a subsidiary of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of S&P. The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P, its affiliates, and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions, regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P's opinions and analyses do not address the suitability of any security. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain credit-related analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.