Executive Summary
Provide a narrative summary of the onsite visit, the External Consultants’ report and the Departmental responses to that report, highlighting key aspects identified in each. This section should be long enough to cover fully the information provided in each report, perhaps a single-spaced page or two in total.

This report is sent for approval through SUPR-U, SCAPA, Senate, and the Ontario Universities’ Council on Quality Assurance and is eventually published in a publicly accessible location on Western’s Institutional Quality Assurance Process website.

A confidential section may be added on a separate page if you feel that SUPR-U would benefit from information provided but that may not be appropriate for publication. Only members of SUPR-U will receive the confidential section.

Significant Strengths of the Program
Indicate the specific strengths of the program that the External Reviewers identified. This may be done in point form.

Suggestions for Improvement & Enhancement
Indicate the specific opportunities for improvement that, if implemented, would make this program better than it is already. Note, that this does not mean what must be done for the program to continue, but rather, list items that could take the program to the next level.
## Recommendations Required for Program Sustainability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Introduction of a 4th year capstone course.</td>
<td>Dean, Associate Dean</td>
<td>Time, staff support</td>
<td>June 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Provide information regarding what the External Consultants believe is necessary for the program to remain competitive in the field, to continue recruiting high caliber students and for the program to be sustained. Please also provide advice on whose responsibility you believe it would be to implement each recommendation; what resources you believe they will require; and when you, or the External Reviewers, believe by when the recommendations should be implemented. The items included in this section will need to be addressed by the Department in the next review showing evidence of completion. Insert rows as necessary.

### Using this Form:

This form provides the format in which all Final Assessment Reports for the cyclical reviews of all undergraduate programs should be submitted.

Should you have questions or require assistance completing this form, please contact Shari Nash, Administrative Coordinator in the Office of the Vice-Provost (Academic Programs) by email snemiro@uwow.ca.