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Reading for All Program

- The National Reading Report (2000) indicates that reading instruction should address the following areas: phonological awareness, phonics, vocabulary, reading fluency and text comprehension.
- This evidence-based program, Reading for All (RfA), was designed by school-based SLPs (authors JL & SR) for implementation in grade one with a focus on these five areas of reading instruction.

3 Program Goals
1. Support early literacy development
2. Build educator capacity through co-instruction
3. Build parent competency through training sessions

Reading for All Scope and Sequence
1. Alphabetic principle
2. Phonological awareness
3. Short vowel learning
4. Orthographic pattern learning
5. Writing sentences
6. Vocabulary
7. Reading fluency
8. Text comprehension

Methods

Participants
- Grade 1 classrooms in 2 public schools in culturally diverse neighborhoods in Toronto, Ontario

Interventions
- 2 conditions:
  - RfA Intervention: 2 classes (n = 31 students)
  - Dialogic Reading Program: 2 classes (n = 28 students)

- 54 lessons co-instructed over 2 weekly sessions (~100 minutes/week) from October to May

Outcome Measures
- Kaufman Test of Educational Achievement (Kaufman, 2004): letter & word recognition, reading comprehension, listening comprehension, and nonsense word decoding

Sample Goal from Lesson 16: Writing a Big Book
- Students will practice expanding simple sentences to create complex sentences and an exciting story.

Dialogic Reading Program

- Designed to actively engage and involve children in shared book reading (Arnold et al., 1994).
- Significant gains seen in expressive language (Whitehurst et al., 1988), receptive language (Valdez-Menchaca & Whitehurst, 1992), and in both small groups (Whitehurst et al., 1994), and larger groups (Hargrave & Sonechul, 2000).

Principles of Dialogic Reading
1. Encourage the child to participate
2. Provide feedback to the child
3. Adapt your reading style to the child’s growing linguistic abilities

Results

Significant Interactions

1. Significant Group x Time interactions
2. Significant within group changes for those participating in the RfA program

Observations
- The RfA program was very time intensive for the co-instructing SLP and classroom educators.
- By the end of the program, SLPs reported that some classroom educators were comfortable implementing the lessons independently, and others not.
- A teacher training model has been adopted whereby teachers attend co-instruction allows the SLP to observe the linguistic knowledge needed to access the curriculum and the educators observe the supports that SLP’s provide.
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Tier 1 Co-Instruction

- The need for SLP-educator collaboration has been driven by the push for classrooms to adopt an inclusivity framework that requires differentiated instruction (Archibald, 2017).
- Differentiated instruction can be challenging for educators in classrooms with children of varying language abilities.
- Tier 1 co-instruction lends itself to professional development including training for educators.
- Co-instruction allows the SLP to observe the linguistic knowledge needed to access the curriculum and the educators observe the supports that SLP’s provide.

Score on KTEA Subtest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>PreRC</th>
<th>PostRC</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>PreLWRA</th>
<th>PostLWRA</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Letter &amp; Word Recognition</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonsense Word Decoding</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading Comprehension</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listening Comprehension</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = p < .05

1. Significant Group x Time interactions
2. Significant within group changes for those participating in the RfA program

1. Significant School x Group x Time Interaction
2. Significant improvement for those in the RfA group on listening comprehension for a low baseline school.